NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

10c

Royal Oak, Michigan | May 26, 1941 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year

FRANCE AND SPAIN TO JOIN THE AXIS

(See Page 3)

Hess Peace Flight Defers F. D. R. Talk; Halts Convoy Deal

(See Page 4)

U. A. W-C. I. O. Wins Wage Raise at G. M.

(Story on Page 5)

Archbishop Curley Asks Nation to Pray for Peace

A LETTER FROM LANCASHIRE ★ GETTING US INTO WAR ★ A LEVY ON ALL WEALTH

Dinner Chat

Archbishop Curley Prays for Peace

"COPPERHEAD or no copperhead, I hope and pray that American youth will never again have to travel thousands of miles over sea and lay down their lives for any nation!"

This courageous pronouncement of the Most Rev. Archbishop Michael J. Curley, of the diocese of Baltimore and Washington, was made recently at the annual meeting of the Sodality Union of Gonzaga College in Washington, D. C.

"We Americans ought to pray for peace in our land," the Archbishop went on. "Twenty-four years ago we were in the big war 'to save democracy.' We produced a crop of dictators.

"Millions have died, countries have been ruined and liberties are gone, while little children have lost fathers and mothers, and only the good God knows where they are today. Some have been found wandering the fields of France, Belgium and other countries, not knowing their own names or being able to tell who they were.

"Churches of God have been torn to ruins, great hospitals and great educational institutions have gone the same way. We are seeing something man never saw before, never thought of. There was nothing like this in the last war . . .

"Therefore, we are asked in this country to thank God that we have peace, in a way, and to pray fervently for the return of peace to the rest of the world . . .

"I repeat that I hope for peace, that America will not enter the war, and that the day will never come when we have to declare war. I hope the good God will give wisdom to our leaders . . ."

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE National Weekly. Copyrighted 1941 by the Social Justice Publishing Company, publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 7 May 26, 1941 No. 21

Wisconsin Motor Tour

WITH the Silver Jubilee of the Rev. Charles E. Coughlin's ordination to the priesthood drawing close, we of the Social Justice Civic Club of Milwaukee have decided to have a pilgrimage to Royal Oak on June 28 and 29, leaving Milwaukee on the morning of July 28th by automobile.

We already have several auto parties scheduled and would like to have other Wisconsin friends of Father Coughlin join us.

Anyone who wishes to go may get information by calling or writing to **Mrs. Charles Bach, 2865 North 36th street (telephone Hilltop 1196); Miss Catherine Dieringer, 1430 North 21st street; Mrs. John Kiesz, 1613 West Clark street (Kilbourn 9281); Mrs. William Wendelburg, 2126 North 42nd street (Hopkins 2174 M).**

Banquet in Waterbury

ON Sunday evening, May 25th, in the ballroom of the Hotel Elton, Waterbury, Connecticut, a Silver Jubilee banquet will be held commemorating the twenty-fifth anniversary of the Rev. Father Charles E. Coughlin's ordination to the priesthood.

"This was the only date available," explains a letter from the Citizens Study Club, which adds:

"We plan to make it a month of special prayers, the Catholic members offering up their Holy Communion on June 29th for the intentions of Father Coughlin and for peace.

"Our speakers will be the Rev. Luke Fitzsimons of Warrenville, Conn., and Rev. Joseph Donnelly of St. Thomas parish in Waterbury. Both are true friends of Father Coughlin and both have always been most willing to cooperate in the cause of Social Justice."

The tickets are priced at $2 and all receipts above the cost of the dinner will be sent to Father Coughlin as a gift. Father Coughlin's friends in this area are invited to join us.

Tickets are available at 44 Laval street, Waterbury, Conn. Call George Ayotte (phone 4-6908).

Chicago Bus Trip

THE annual all-expense bus tour to Royal Oak, conducted by Mr. Edward Rogers, Mrs. Charles Schneider and Miss Tillie Schilling will be run on June 28th and 29, starting at 8 a. m. Saturday from 20 East Randolph street, Chicago.

This year the regular $10 rate has been raised to $11, owing to the slight increase in the cost of food and the transfer from the usual eating place at Sturgis, Michigan, to the Hotel Elliott, where all can be seated and served immediately upon arrival.

All reservations for hotel accommodations in Detroit will be made in advance.

Mrs. Charles Schneider and Miss Tillie Schilling have been especially selected as hostesses for their ability and graciousness in assuring every comfort throughout the entire trip.

As is the usual custom, everybody pays full fare; and all money exceeding the actual expense is turned over to Father Coughlin as a personal gift of his Chicago friends.

For further details and information call **Mr. Edward Rogers, 5919 West Erie (Austin 7555); Mrs. Charles Schneider, 4929 North Leavitt (Ardmore 4907); Miss Tillie Schilling, 4350 Winchester.**

Pete Wants a New Collar For the Big Jubilee Day

HELLO, FOLKS:

Well, I hadda run between th' raindrops t' get t' th' office t'day, but I made it. We've been havin' our share uv Spring showers 'round these parts. I'll shure be glad when th' flowers 'n trees've been taken care uv by Mother Natchur 'cause I'm gettin' a little bit tired uv havin' t' stay in th' house. I wouldn't mind it so much I don't think if Mother Coughlin'd let me mosey 'round in her house, but since she got it all fixed up 'n cleaned f'r th' season she keeps me out in my own house. She says it's good enuf f'r me, 'n so that's that. Persunnaly, I don't know why she doesn't put Dad out with me — his old stogies 're more uv a noosunce — 'r as much, ennyway, as I am.

Mother says that nice as I am — I'm still just a dog 'n th' dog house is where I must stay now that it's warmed up. 'Sides, she can't be bothered worryin' 'bout what I'll destroy — she hasn't got time f'r that what with all th' preparashuns f'r her famus son's Silver Joobalee.

First a Golden Joobalee 'n now a silver one! Dear me — we're gettin' t' be quite th' selebraters, aren't we?

Well, all I hope is that th' silver one'll be as nice as th' golden one we had f'r Mother 'n Dad when they were selebratin' their Golden Weddin' annaversery. Judgin' from th' amount uv inqueries we're gettin' from all over th' kuntry there'll be quite a gatherin' here on th' twenty-ninth uv June.

* * *

Boy, Pal 'n I'll be busy that day, I'll betcha! I hope Mother 'r Dad'll see t' it that we both get new collars. Mine is gettin' kinda shabby, I think. If ev'rybuddy else is gonna get somethin' speshul, I'd hate t' think that we boys were gonna be left out in th' cold. Course, I spekt that if Pal doesn't stop buryin' his bones in th' flower garden 'n if I don't bring home th' bacon in th' form uv subskripshuns we'll be outta luck.

Now all Pal hasta do is t' find a new hidin' place f'r his tidbits. Come t' think uv it — who's he hidin' 'em from ennyway? Is he expektin' th' commies t' come snoopin' around 'r somethin'? No other dogs get in his backyard t' swipe his tresure, so I reely don't know why he digs those holes all around. He's kinda silly, I guess.

* * *

Now with me it's diffrunt. I've gotta depend on all my frien's t' help me with my problum. So I'm puttin' it right in y'r laps, so t' speek. Do I get th' new collar 'r don't I? It all depends on you 'n wether 'r not y' send in those new subskripshuns.

I'm not alt'gether selfish in askin' f'r y'r help, 'cause in helpin' t' build up th' cirkulashun uv SOCIAL JUSTICE y' are helpin' lotsa peepul t' know what th' reel skore is in these awful times.

Knowin' what's th' reel skore 'n what's th' foney one is importunt these days, 'cause if y're taken in by all th' misleadin' 'n confusin' readin' matter that's bein' dished out by most uv th' propaganda-f'r-war publicashuns y're gonna wake up some mornin' 'n find y'rselves in a pretty pickul.

So y' can see how it is with me, I hope. I want t' have a new collar t' selebrate a wunderful okkashun 'n t' wear it in honor uv — a wonderful person — Pal's Boss!

'Sides that, I wanna help you help y'rselves by keepin' SOCIAL JUSTICE alive with new readers. If enuf peepul read 'n learn from our magazine maybe we'll be abul t' keep our beloved nation's neck outta a collar that'll fit so tight it'll choke it t' death.

— PETE.

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name_____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

Comment

Marshal Petain Answers F. D. R.

In a Most polite but incisive manner, the French government answered President Roosevelt and the Government of the United States relative to the demands made by this country covering French alignment with the Axis powers.

Students of world affairs were not surprised when the chickens of American foreign policy came home to roost.

By that we mean that the New Deal Government had promised a plenitude of aid to the Daladier government, thereby inciting France to engage in a suicidal war.

We mean, moreover, that, following the surrender of France with the post-defeat establishment of the Petain government, the United States received with open arms the De Gaullists (enemies of defeated France); obstructed the Hoover plan to supply the French people with food; applauded — without protest — the unmitigated and contemptuous attack made by the British against the French fleet.

American sympathy for France and her cause was but the shadow.

American effrontery to France and her cause was the substance of Roosevelt foreign policy.

Finally, the *Lease-Lend Bill*, excogitated by "fairy-minded" legalists, placed the United States as a New Deal belligerent on the side of Great Britain.

Thereupon destroyers, rifles, airplanes, ships, propaganda and money were bestowed upon Britain in favor of Churchill's war-mongering madness.

Most inept and inopportune, therefore, was Mr. Roosevelt's castigation of Marshal Petain's pact with the Axis powers. At best, it was a case of the pot calling the pan black.

Thus, when the Marshal retorted reminding Mr. Roosevelt that France had long awaited in vain the war help promised by America and Britain; and that France, under the Axis pact, does nothing on behalf of Germany that America was not doing in behalf of Britain, Petain was on solid ground.

' ' '

Secretary Knox's intemperate talk to the effect that America is in a most dangerous situation, and Mr. Roosevelt's agitation, are timely. But it was these gentlemen who brought about the danger and created the agitation which they are now lamenting.

Incidentally, the French-Axis pact — soon to be followed by a Spanish-Axis pact —definitely spells the doom of the Suez Canal and the fortress of Gibraltar; and, what's more, it marks the end of British "Bank-of-Englandism" in Europe.

Despite the inevitableness of these events, Mr. Roosevelt, never able to strike his breast and say "*mea culpa*"—and, likely, he never will be — rides his front-page hobby-horse and persists in sending American ships laden with munitions and supplies into the Red Sea area.

Sometimes, the world wonders why President Roosevelt—sustained by Messrs. Frankfurter, Baruch, Knox, Stimson, and the "bedroom *clique*"—persists in this war policy.

One informed diplomat has suggested that the American President knows the hopelessness of the cause he supports, but that he is prepared to have American ships and men sunk before the cessation of hostilities, simply to "have an opportunity to sit in at the peace table where he and his *clique* will not be wanted."

The American President, say these international observers, appears to care little whether the Japanese navy, the French navy, the Spanish navy, the Russian navy, and the German navy will be lined up against the American Navy *sans* any help from the British navy.

' ' '

Meanwhile, no pleasant picture can be painted on the condition of our domestic life.

Industrial warfare is so rampant that an officer of the Government has expressed himself in favor of calling out the troops at the Presidio in San Francisco to force American strikers back to their jobs!

Disaffection rises in ever-mounting waves against the shoreline of every industrial plant.

Our conscripted soldier boys — still armed with broomsticks — are not filled with enthusiasm for bloodshed any more than are their mothers.

More than 80 per cent of the citizenry are grumbling as the national chariot rolls mile after mile closer to the engulfing chasm of war.

It is too late to be afraid to state our honest views, although we recognize that it is *"dangerous to be right when those in authority are wrong."*

And, in conclusion, the original statement of Rudolf Hess still stands; namely, "I want to save humanity from destruction."

God bless the efforts of the honest English people as they long and strive for peace.

God bless the efforts of the American people who are risking all for peace.

If this be "treason" to quote another patriot—make the most of it!

' ' '

Rumors reaching the desks of certain editors to the effect that *they will be silenced* if they express such thoughts are idle.

There is still free speech in America, and, by the God who created us, there will continue to be free speech in this land of the Stars and Stripes, come what may!

The American people will see to it.

Index to Comment

Marshal Petain Answers F.D.R.; So Goes the War ..... Page 3
Soviet Paradise; Pearson and Allen ..... Page 4
UAW-CIO Victory Over General Motors ..... Page 5
Editorials: The Next President Speaks; Radio Regimented; Mrs. F.D.R. Swings About; False Gods ..... Page 6
War Backers; Cabinet Records; Ex-Pacifist; Mothers' Anti-War Crusade; Uncle Saphead ..... Page 7
Britain to Turn on Us!; Taxes in Canada; The Middle Class; 'Oil' Out for Britain ..... Page 8
The Poor Man Pays; The Political Parade; Hot Oil; Federal Reserve Banks ..... Page 9
A Letter From Lancashire—Don't Expect Gratitude ..... Page 12
Charles Lindbergh; A Letter to Lindy ..... Page 13
Getting Us Into War ..... Page 14
Senator Nye; A Levy on All Wealth; War and the A.A.A. ..... Page 15
British Israel; The British 'Leopard' ..... Page 18
Anti-Semites—and the War; 'America et Veritas' ..... Page 19
Is SOCIAL JUSTICE in Danger? ..... Page 20

So Goes the War

President Roosevelt's Pan-American Conference speech was abruptly postponed, and the convoy issue was postponed with it.

For what reason?

Mr. Roosevelt had been indisposed for more than a week. Appointments and visitors were shelved summarily.

Now, Mr. Roosevelt's speeches, while blueprinted by the President himself, oftentimes are constructed by his "literary engineering department."

Thus, we presume that while the speech was very probably ready for delivery on schedule, the hour was not "opportune."

Suddenly—and to the astonishment of the nation—Mr. Stephen Early, the White House secretary, announced, in effect, that "means other than those related to convoy" must be discovered for the United States to deliver the goods to beleagured England.

This pronouncement not only reflected Mr. Roosevelt's own mind, but intimated—apart from the anti-convoy sentiment in the nation—that something of prime magnitude must have occurred to keep the President secluded in his chambers, and the tentative convoy speech in a pigeonhole.

That Saturday afternoon following Early's pronouncement—3 o'clock our time and 9 p. m. England's time — the most furious bombing in history rained down upon the Westminster bank of the Thames, effecting the partial destruction of the Parliament buildings, Westminster Abbey, the British Museum and other national landmarks—targets of attack which could have been *blitzkrieged* a year before had the Germans chosen to do so.

That same night, a lone flier hovered over the Scottish estate of the Duke of Hamilton.

Manipulating his controls, he bailed out, parachuting to earth not far from the Duke's home.

These three events—(1) the postponement of the President's convoy speech; (2) the destruction of the most prized English landmarks; and (3) the one-man invasion of Scotland in the person of Rudolf Hess—establish a sequential trinity of events of prime importance.

' ' '

The Duke of Hamilton, prior to England's declaration of war against Germany, belonged to that school of thought described as "Anglo-German." He, and many

(Continued on Page 4)

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

other important Englishmen, opposed the declaration of war, preferring to seek means of mutual understanding and co-operation with the dominant force in Europe.

And Rudolf Hess represented that trend of thought, inside the Third German Reich, which aimed at consolidating the activities of England and Germany in establishing a world regime.

For all we know, it could have been Hess who inserted in *"Mein Kampf"* the spectacular passage relative to England's bulldoggedness; to the practical impossibility of any power or group of powers defeating England and the Empire.

Now comes a fourth fact. It is related to the non-bombing of England and of Germany for a period of time which inclines those of a speculative mind to weigh the sudden breaking out of peace.

* * *

Pursuing this speculation, why did Rudolf Hess push the war news off the front pages?

It is our opinion that the weary forces associated with the war are about to bring about a negotiated peace.

Put it down that "Gallipoli" Churchill has run true to form, turning out to be a failure in this instance as he was in all previous instances.

His war "to the death"—as many Britishers are beginning to judge—will mean a war to the death of the British Empire.

Suez is indefensible.

The impregnability of Gibraltar is a Cyclopean myth.

The loss of shipping facilities and the cutting of the life-lines upon which England depends for food and raw materials is imminent.

Thus, the opportune moment may have arrived for Hess and Hamilton to bring about the needed "revolution in England," whose people do not want war any more than the American people want war, any more than the German people want war, any more than the French people wanted war.

Two years ago, the strategy of the war party in Britain and in the United States was to tell the public that England would win when an internal revolution occurred in Germany.

Propagandists are flooding the airways and the newspaper columns with their guesses that the Hess incident represents a break in German solidarity.

It seems likely that the Germans can, and will, last longer than can the English in this struggle for self-preservation.

And it seems now that the internal revolution will take place in England before it takes place in Germany.

* * *

Possibly, ten years hence, records will show that the Hess one-man invasion of England was a well-organized invasion made possible through the *gaucherie* of Winston Churchill and his associates.

The incidents connected with the German advance in Africa, the capture of the Aegean Islands, the peace pacts with Russia and France were to be expected in the normal course of events.

Closer and closer the fragments are being fitted into the "United States of Europe." And it would not surprise this commentator to discover that England will become a portion of that whole.

Unnoticed by most Americans, was the months' old statement of President Roosevelt that he was planning to establish the four great rights everywhere in the world and to become the "policeman of the world."

Interpreting the events of the past week, the internationalists and imperialists dwelling in America recognize that the mantle of Miss Brittania is about to descend unceremoniously upon the shoulders of Uncle Sam.

Mr. Roosevelt's words can be interpreted in no other sense.

When the war comes to an end in Europe; when the little buffer States and the great belligerent States fashion their "United States of Europe," Uncle Sam will be holding the well-known bag. We will be thoroughly isolated.

On one side, there will be Europe; on the other side, there will be Asia; and, as we have said before, the United States will be "in the middle"—thanks to the inept foreign policy sponsored and pursued by the New Deal Administration which has seen fit to call every person disagreeing with the factors thereof as "pro-Nazi" and "anti-Semitic."

Inept as these factors were in formulating a foreign policy, they were most apt in casting aspersions upon all those who disagreed with them, thereby manifesting a species of intolerance never before witnessed in the world.

So goes the war—a war that will certainly mark the decadence of influence of Christianity; a war that will mark the decadence of democracy; a war that will mark the decadence of tolerance; a war that will find Americans heeled beneath the boot of regimentation from which, we pray, they will squirm to stand upright and declare themselves before it is too late!

Soviet Paradise

BOLSHEVISM has now reigned in Russia for nearly 24 years.

The social and political effects of Communism in its home territory are fairly well known.

Information about the economic aspects of Communism in Russia, however, has been largely concealed from the outside world either by censorship or false statistics.

Here are a few hitherto-unknown facts, as revealed in a newly published book entitled, *"Workers Before and After Lenin,"* by Manya Gordon.

Russians now earn about ten times more in wages than they did under the Czar.

But the price of black bread, meat and potatoes—Russia's chief food staples—is eighteen times higher than it was before the Red revolution.

Cabbage, another all-important food item, costs 25 times more.

In 1911 the Russian laborer spent about 3.3 rubles a month for food. Now he must spend 58 rubles a month.

Forty-three per cent of Russian office workers in rural districts now earn less than 69 rubles a month.

A pair of third-rate boots in present-day Russia costs 250 rubles — which exactly equals the average monthly wage of skilled laborers, while unskilled workmen earn four times less.

Pearson and Allen

THE well-known sounding board columnists who edit the *"Washington Merry-Go-Round,"* syndicated an article last week to the effect that Mr. Roosevelt had his mind made up; that the President *was going to come out for convoys in his Pan-American speech.*

Upsetting the applecart by jumping out of an airplane Rudolf Hess — so it is widely suspected — forced Mr. Roosevelt to cancel his planned speech fifteen minutes after the No. 3 Nazi landed on the Duke of Hamilton's estate.

Pearson and Allen then began a feverish telegraphic campaign to the newspaper subscribers of their syndicate, advising publishers to change the date of the Roosevelt speech to May 27th.

Considering who Pearson and Allen are and what they represent, the convoy commitment was a foregone conclusion.

Possibly Messrs. Hitler, Goering, Hess and Goebbels knew as much and used the dramatic one-man-invasion flight to confuse the entire issue.

It is evident that Germany suffered some confusion as a result of the Hess incident. It is evident that the United States suffered a worse confusion. And it is evident that England suffered the worst confusion, as half of the English papers look upon Hess as a "Trojan horse" and the other half look upon him as a plugged penny from heaven, pretending a rift in the Nazi regime.

For several years, a strong Anglo-German group has operated in England. Contrary to the accepted American viewpoint, this English group is not composed of rabble-rousers, sweating night-cap wearers or incorrigible Cockneys. In it are men and women of high estate and high esteem; men and women representing high culture; men and women who have not consigned all hope to the dogs of war.

The Duke of Hamilton belongs to that category. And there are others whose names are known specifically and who, as prudence dictates, are deserving of protection.

They are not "Bevinites." They are not radicals. They are the flower of England who groan and grieve at the pronouncements of Churchill and his *per diem* supporters.

Indeed, the Hess flight was equivalent to a major battle — a battle in which, incidentally, Franklin D. Roosevelt's foreign policy came tumbling to earth.

The break-up of national policy in Germany is in nowise as imminent or likely as is the break-up of the Churchill policy in England.

And if convoys — like rabbits — spring full panoplied from the presidential parlor, we are wondering to what port such convoys might conduct the munition-laden ships.

Definitely, not to England. Possibly, an attempt will be made to land them at Dakar — which African port, within a month, will probably be in the hands of the Germans.

Senator Burton Wheeler's adroit and timely suggestion for Mr. Roosevelt to campaign for peace should be taken most seriously.

Comment

U.A.W.-C.I.O.'s Victory Over General Motors

UNDER the driving leadership of the U.A.W. (C.I.O.) officers — particularly Walter Reuther, the Moscow-trained activist — automotive workers in the vast General Motors industry have wrung from the reluctant hands of management a 10-cent per hour raise in wages.

The threat of a big automotive strike petered-out in the small hours last Friday morning in the vapor of a compromise.

The U.A.W. and its 150,000 followers operating in General Motors had demanded a wage increase, the closed shop, and two weeks' vacation with pay.

The wage increase was conceded.

But the closed shop and vacation-with-pay was the raw meat thrown by the U.A.W. to the tiger of compromise.

This means that the U.A.W. (C.I.O.), upon completion of the present contract with the corporation, will be around with Santa Claus — and stay around — until the closed shop and vacation-with-pay argument is settled in favor of labor.

It is quite useless for anyone to reason with labor leaders on the matter of strike "objectives."

Primarily, these leaders are interested in getting more dollars per day — no matter what kind of dollars they happen to be.

The labor leaders are chesty and smiling this week because their "victory" over management means an increase of an average of $5 per week — at least — in all pay envelopes of the industrial workers employed by General Motors.

But that is not the end of the story. Soon, the wives of the laborers will begin to understand that even the $5 a week increase is immaterial. They will understand when they stand in front of the grocer's counter, when they purchase new shoes for Johnny, a new dress for Mary, and a tankful of gasoline for "Chevvy"; for the prices will have advanced.

It is true that Leon Henderson is the price commissioner appointed by Mr. Roosevelt. It is his duty to keep the wild horse of prices from galloping too fast.

However, both wives and workers will wake up within the next three months to find out that the five extra dollars per week in the worker's pay envelop will not buy as much as did the pay envelop without the extra five dollars three months before.

And that will be concrete lesson number 1 for Mr. Reuther and his multi-thousand followers in General Motors plants.

In plain language, no effort at all has been made, or is being made by labor leaders, to have Congress employ its constitutional right of coining money and regulating the value thereof.

* * *

We are definitely engaged in a war which, in turn, will employ many thousands of workmen in factories manufacturing war equipment.

But all wars come to an end — either through the process of "peace" or through the process of exhaustion.

And the end of the present conflict cannot be more than seven years distant.

In the next seven years more billions of dollars will be expended for war equipment; more billions will be imposed through the channel of consumer's taxation and income taxation on the workmen; more billions will be represented in the steadily mounting cost of living.

Eventually, peace will "break-out" like measles all over the world. Factories will close. Workmen will be idle. Revenue will cease to such an extent that the wife, Johnny and Mary will be left high and dry. But the tax collector will pay his invisible call just as of old.

When all this happens how silly will appear the hourly wage system?

When all this happens — with prices substantially high and dollars as cheap and prolific as mosquitos in a swamp — will not labor leaders and laborers themselves then recognize how necessary it is *to have a stable dollar* based on production — not debt — and regulated by Congress, together with a stable income based on the theory of an annual wage, not an hourly wage?

* * *

The present victory gained by the U.A.W. (C.I.O.) is of course noteworthy.

In the long run, it may be a step towards the final victory of regulated dollars, regulated prices and regulated annual wage — or, it may be a worm on the hook employed by the internationalists to lure the masses of labor into the camp of totalitarianism.

Meanwhile, the U.A.W. (C.I.O.) and the C.I.O. itself grow strong numerically — so strong that they promise to overtake, as a political entity, the giant of the New Deal.

And after Roosevelt, what?

After the New Deal, what?

From the present viewpoint the "what" may be answered by a labor government, a proletarian government, with capital in the well-known dog house.

Once more, out of full sympathy with the American laborer, with his wife and his children, we repeat that labor can win no lasting victory; that labor will gain no substantial wage increase; that labor will enjoy no uninterrupted work; that labor will be blessed with no economic security until the day dawns which will witness *the abolition of the money racket and the regulation of the dollar by the people's representatives.*

General Motors, and other giant corporations controlled by Wall Street and by those identified with Wall Street, will be willing to pay labor as high as $5 or $10 an hour after having put up a sham battle.

It makes no difference what labor is paid — 50 cents an hour or $5 an hour — if the laborer's pay envelop is not fat enough to purchase the necessaries and conveniences of life and a modicum of security for his family and himself in his old age.

And *the hourly wage system will never solve the economic difficulties of our times.*

The living annual wage and a regulated dollar — regulated by Congress, not by the private creators thereof — should be one of the objectives of labor leaders in America.

If You Are Opposed to War Tell the President

THERE have been no overt acts of war by any foreign powers against the United States.

Nevertheless, tremendous pressure is being brought upon the New Deal Administration to put this country into the war.

The American people are not in favor of war. Anti-war sentiment on the part of the people can hold the war-makers in check on any planned war moves.

This American sentiment must be emphasized and directed to the President, if the United States is to stay out of England's war against Germany.

The majority of the American people favor a strong national defense, for the maintenance of peace and democracy.

The President has pledged to keep American boys from service in a foreign war. Back him up!

Address the following – or better still, a personal letter of your own – to President Roosevelt, Washington, D. C.

I am One of the 83% Opposed to Foreign War!

Mr. President, you are the representative of this 83%. I place my faith in your promises to keep us out of foreign wars. I rely upon your oath of office to uphold the *Constitution* and Laws of the United States, which include the *Neutrality* and *Johnson Acts.*

I ask you to refrain from any encouragement of convoys or any other unneutral acts that will be instrumental in involving the United States in a foreign war.

***Signed*_____ *Address*_____

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

The Next President Speaks

THE HON. HENRY WALLACE, now Vice President and, probably, the next President of the United States, recently relieved himself of the following thoughts.

The observations made by Mr. Wallace are very factual. They could be applied with a nicety to the American people who find themselves in a similar "trap."

"We shall also do well to keep our minds clear about the German people, here and in Germany. The German people are not the same as the Nazi gang that has seized control over Germany. Most Germans are not gangsters.

"In Germany the majority of people are caught in a trap. They do not dare resist the Nazis, and they are afraid if they quit the war they may be punished unmercifully for the Nazi crimes.

"Let us do our part to show here in America that we can distinguish between the great majority of Germans, whom we know to be good citizens, and the few who belong to the Nazi gang."

We sometimes wonder if the American people, too, have been caught in a trap.

Radio Regimented

THE ANNUAL meeting of the National Association of Broadcasters was held recently in St. Louis.

The *Post Dispatch* (May 12) referring to some of the activities chronicled at this meeting said:

"Radio time must be denied to those who have no other purpose 'than the promotion of civilian and military hysteria and confusion,' Ed Kirby, chief of the army's radio branch and former director of public relations for the National Association of Broadcasters, said in his annual report to the convention.

"Pointing out that the radio industry must 'prepare for a new order of things' in which each station's 'self-interest must be submerged in service to the national defense,' Kirby stated that 'we well know that what does not go into the microphone is as important as what does.'

"Such denial would not be censorship, he said. In 1941, Kirby said, the radio industry 'must win its service chevrons in a war emergency'."

It would be interesting to find out whom Mr. Kirby would put in the category of those who promote "civilian and military hysteria and confusion."

Undoubtedly, the former Colonel Charles A. Lindbergh will be thus classified.

Undoubtedly, General Wood of the America First Committee will be thus classified.

Undoubtedly, Senators Wheeler and Nye will be thus classified.

Mr. Ed Kirby had something to do with stringing the bow that was used against Father Coughlin. As such, he is an expert in muzzling free speech and in arbitrarily defining what is "proper" and improper for the airways.

The radio stations have been so busy chasing rolling dollars that they forgot to lock the back door of their stable through which is escaping the liberty of expression, which at one time characterized radio.

The radio stations have permitted themselves to be muzzled by the dollar-a-year man Kirby and those others behind the picture who dictate policies to him.

Mrs. F.D.R. Swings About

WASHINGTON papers carried a story the other day to the effect that Mrs. Roosevelt had addressed a group of ladies and assured them that this nation would not go to war.

Two weeks before, Mrs. Roosevelt, you remember, stated that the man who wouldn't be willing to fight "anywhere his country wanted him to fight" did not deserve to be called an American.

That we are more unprepared for war now than we were a year ago is evident when one scans the labor situation.

Strikes are prevalent in almost every sector of industrial America. These strikes—although not so declarative of their objective—are opposed to our country's entrance into the war.

If any action on the part of this Government should bring about our active entrance into a shooting war, there would eventuate even more turmoil in America than existed in France when the Blums and the Daladiers voted for their nation's destruction.

It is high time that Americans begin talking about "all out aid" for the United States of America.

We are witnessing a sorry state of affairs when we talk so glibly of engaging in a foreign war when an industrial war is in full swing here at home.

False Gods

WE ARE the majority . . . that part of the people who are bound by *tabu* and tradition . . . and who, tho' possessing both the numbers and brawn, lack cunning and the ruthlessness to rule. So what does it matter then that we are for peace?

There are profits to be made from war and in it the satisfaction of ambitions . . . and who are we to thwart the will of the mighty?

So, when it pleasures the lords of the earth that blood shall be mixed with the sweat of our faces . . . lo! forgetting our numbers, we bow in submission to their decrees . . . permitting ourselves to be shipped off like cattle to slaughter. Or, chained to lathes and brooms, we are forced to make a mockery of useful labor, while destruction is justified under the plea of necessity . . . for wars are fought in the name of God, while rebellions are the work of the devil . . . and before such propaganda we are as clay in the hands of the potter.

We have no quarrel with people in other lands . . . folks we have never seen . . . for if they are armed for invasion they are so unwillingly, and because they would not band together to prevent it . . . so great was their respect for authority.

Our quarrel, and theirs, should be with the politicians who make wars . . . for is not respect for such authority misplaced?

Tell us, O God, the suns of Whose creation throughout the ages have travelled their accustomed orbits without collision . . . are these Thy anointed rulers who cannot keep order even amongst themselves . . . who in peace plead helplessness in the face of hungry millions, yet can feed, clothe and arm them for destruction?

And tell us, Christ, Who said that love of one's neighbor is the second great commandment of the law . . . can governments make killing less a crime?

Counsel us, O Spirit of Light, and make us wise that we may be able to distinguish between "The Carpenter" and "the Paperhanger."

Comment

War Backers

WHO ARE the citizens who want to lease-lend American boys to Britain?

From what walks of life do they come?

The farm, the factory, the mine — the repositories which furnish America its soldiers in time of war?

Or the city editor's desk, the college president's sanctuary, the intelligentsia's bohemian studios?

The "war now" *Fight for Freedom Committee* recently listed the names and occupations of 139 of its sponsors in an advertisement in the *New York Herald Tribune.*

Classified in their respective professions they fall into the following groups:

Eleven are college presidents; 16 are professors and heads of preparatory schools.

Twenty-four are professional writers and 16 represent the press.

Hollywood and Broadway donated nine actors and various churches supplied seven clergymen.

Nine come from the legal profession and two are bankers.

James P. Warburg, America's ace warmonger and international banker, was innocently listed merely as a "writer."

The remaining 27 — 18 didn't indicate an occupation — come from similar war exempt professions.

Altogether 70 per cent are from the Eastern states and 45 per cent come from New York City.

Their Records

ONLY two members of the present Roosevelt Cabinet saw service over seas in the last World War and they were the two Republican "Colonels" Knox and Stimson.

Here is what the rest of the Cabinet members were doing in 1917-18:

After voting for our entrance into war, Secretary of State Hull continued to serve in the Congress of the United States.

Secretary of the Treasury Morgenthau and Postmaster-General Walker got commissions in the Naval Reserve and remained safely on this side of the Atlantic holding down desk jobs.

Secretary of Agriculture Wickard remained on his farm in Indiana.

Secretary of the Interior Ickes went overseas, but with the Y.M.C.A., not the A.E.F.

Secretary of Commerce Jones remained with his bank in New York.

Attorney-General Jackson stayed in Jamestown, New York, where he was an up and coming young lawyer and banker.

Secretary of Labor Perkins' war record need not be mentioned since she is a woman. As director of a war service organization in New York, however, she did as much actual fighting as any of the male members of the New Deal Cabinet.

Ex-Pacifist

THE metamorphosis from pacifist to war-monger is sometimes short and painless.

At least Dr. Henry Avery Atkinson found it so.

The honorable doctor, a Congregationalist, is at present secretary of the pro-war *Inter-faith Committee for Aid to the Democracies.*

Previously, Dr. Atkinson was secretary of the *World Conference for International Peace Through Religion.*

Writing for the cause of peace in 1931 in the *Missionary Review of the World,* this former pacifist, who now calmly advocates convoys, said:

"No one who knows history, or keeps up with current events, can dispute the statement that to seek to prevent war is one of the noblest enterprises into which the religious leadership of the world can enter."

The good doctor continued: "If the religious instincts of humanity can be mobilized for war, surely they can be mobilized for peace."

By the year A. D. 1941, however, these noble peace sentiments of Dr. Atkinson had been conveniently stowed away for postwar sermons. And the doctor busied himself mobilizing religious leaders throughout America for war instead.

The results of his labor bore fruit last week when the *Inter-Faith Committee,* which he heads, sent a resolution to the White House.

This memorial urged the President to "use whatever means are adequate to guarantee that the life-line between Britain and America be kept open . . ."

If that means convoys, it means war!

* * *

Has the peace-loving doctor forgotten his own definition of war as penned in his book *"Prelude to Peace,"* written in 1937?

He wrote then: "War is an unmitigated evil, the greatest curse of all the ages and the chief enemy of man.

"We have," he went on, "no enemies on our borders and if we are to remain neutral in case of war surely we do not need a preparation for war equal to that of any other nation."

Obviously, the wise doctor is aware that to preach the same sentiments in 1941 would brand him a "defeatist," or a "Copperhead."

Great Lady Intercedes

LOUIS FISCHER, former Communist fellow-traveler, has just written a book called *"Men and Politics."*

Before he started writing it, many Americans asked him to "wait a little longer" before criticizing Soviet Russia.

Among the people who asked him to delay, he says, was, "A great lady who writes a syndicated column."

Mothers' Anti-War Campaign

A MOTHERS' organization — *"We, the Mothers, Mobilize for America"* — spoke out boldly at Urbana, Illinois, in opposition to war.

Under the leadership of Mrs. Barbara Winthrop, a meeting was held to launch a vigorous opposition against the war dictatorship bill.

Said Mrs. Winthrop:

"Our ears are deafened by the interventionists cry, 'This is our war.' It is not our war. We did not declare it and we shall not decide the peace any more than we made the decision in 1918.

"Yet a new war committee has been formed, the *Fight for Freedom* committee, headed if you please by one Bishop Hobson of the Episcopal church. Their well-financed propaganda exhorts the United States to 'fight for freedom' and fight now.

"What with? What do these people mean — even should we grant the righteousness of their cause?

"The United States today has two, possibly three, seasoned and well-equipped divisions. Germany has 250. Our training camps are filled with the flower of American youth, but they are unseasoned soldiers without sufficient guns, planes, tanks or ammunition adequately to defend this hemisphere.

"Yet a stupid general has just told the congressional committee that our new army could fight tomorrow.

"What kind of suicidal mania is this?"

Uncle Saphead

CANADIANS are selling pork in the United States while Americans are planning to *give* the purchased pork to Great Britain.

In this way we Americans are giving a double gift to Great Britain.

The *Chicago Tribune* reports:

"While the Administration plans large shipments of pork products to England under the *Lend-Lease Bill,* virtually as a gift, Canadian packers and farmers are sending hogs and pork in increasing volume into the United States for cash.

"Canada during the two weeks ended April 24, sold 8,332 hogs on United States markets, according to the Dominion department of agriculture. These were the largest shipments in many years. Canadian hog imports for all of 1940 were only 265 head, and for 1939 were only 124.

"New York alone received 256,916 pounds of Canadian pork in the week ended April 23, compared with 5,335 pounds in the parallel 1940 period.

"Canadian pork imports for all of April, 1940, at all United States points, totaled ony 212,400 pounds. The opinion was expressed in the trade that some of the Canadian pork may find its way to England in consignments shipped by the United States.

"The influence of expected new Government buying this week under the *Lend-Lease Bill,* and a sharp rise in wholesale markets for fresh pork, supported hog prices last week. Final prices were 10 to 20 cents above those of the preceding week and the highest for May in three years. Packers paid an average of $8.40 for the week's hog supply, 40 per cent more than a year earlier, and 22 per cent more than two years ago.

"Farmers marketed 341,000 hogs at 11 points during the week against 343,000 the week before, and 37,000 fewer than a year ago."

Comment

Britain to Turn on Us!

ERNEST W. GIBSON, JR., national chairman of the *Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies,* says that England will turn on America with a hymn of hate if Hitler wins the battle of Britain.

That is an opinion that SOCIAL JUSTICE has held for a long time.

Already, the rank and file in England are damning us for our policy of "too little and too late" now that the ports in England have been smashed; now that most of the airplanes we have sent over are 70 per cent useless.

Mr. Gibson told the representatives of 305 Jewish organizations that "we in America must re-orient our philosophy as Hitler's people have done."

It is strange to hear such advice coming from the head of the *Defend America by Aiding the Allies Committee* — advice in which he admits that Hitler has something which we haven't got.

The president of the Committee added: "If England falls, we cannot count on receiving the English navy."

That opinion, also, has appeared in this publication.

Mr. Gibson also said that when England falls, "a controlled radio and press will tell the English people that they had to surrender because America did not help out. A hymn of hate will be started against America over these controlled radios. It was done in France when France fell. A hymn of hate was then started against England. So, when England falls, the English government will not allow its navy to come to help defend America."

Gibson said the best the United States could hope for would be that British naval officers would scuttle their ships, adding that the worst to be feared would be *that the fleet would be turned against the United States.*

All of which helps to sustain the argument that we have no business meddling in this war of hatred, which is fast becoming known as the war of the pro-Semites against the anti-Semites.

We had better spend all our energy in building up our own defenses before we get into a war.

Taxes in Canada

THE NEW DEAL program announced by the Canadian government will impress upon Canadians the high cost of war.

Briefly, here is what Canadians will be expected to pay:

1. A 15 per cent basic income tax on the first taxable thousand dollars, and an increase by 5 per cent on each additional thousand dollars.

2. Corporation income taxes are to start at 40 per cent.

3. Excess profit taxes are increased from 12 per cent to 32 per cent.

4. A rise from 2 per cent to 5 per cent for national defense tax; and from 3 per cent to 7 per cent for the upper brackets.

5. General sales taxes.

6. Motion picture admissions suffer a 20 per cent tax; railway and airplane tickets a 10 per cent tax; gasoline 3 cents for the gallon; and cosmetics a 25 per cent tax.

7. Automobile purchases will undergo a 25 per cent tax.

8. Wine taxes will be 45 cents per gallon.

Thus Canadians are paying for the war. A single person earning $3,000 will have to pay a combined income and defense tax of $622.50, not to mention what he pays out of his balance for the taxes indicated above.

Conservatively, a $3,000 a year man will pay $1,500 a year in taxes.

* * *

In so far as the United States will be the major partner in this war before many weeks will have elapsed, it is evident that a similar scale of taxes will be imposed upon us. At the moment, we have not even started to pay taxes.

How to escape?

That is a question which perturbs every mind. Those who can afford it will buy vacant property. Those who can afford it will buy linens, clothing and things that are necessary.

By and large, the average man will not be permitted to profit as a result of this war. It is expected that the war will last twelve years according to the gentlemen behind the latest bond issue. And these gentlemen — very gentlemanly now — will be very dictatorial a few months hence compelling persons with bank deposits, insurance policies and appraised wealth either to spend part of their bank deposits or borrow on their insurance policies to purchase bonds.

No one needs entertain the idea that he can escape this tax situation by withdrawing his money from the bank and hiding it in a tin can. The Government will take care of that emergency by invalidating all money that is not openly declared long before the twelve years will have elapsed.

These are unpleasant thoughts to pass on to our readers. Nevertheless, they are no more unpleasant than the thoughts which we passed on in 1936 over the airways and in these columns.

At that time—more than four years ago —we predicted the very things that are taking place today. Today we are predicting what will take place in the next few years.

As has been said before, this war will be won by a dead man. His name is Karl Marx.

At the conclusion of the war, America will be Marxianized—thoroughly Marxianized. Even those churchmen who today are anxious to play along with the Government by keeping silence, by not opposing them and by seemingly applauding them, will be held responsible, in part, for what will happen to the properties they are now endeavoring to protect.

The Middle Class

IN THE past decades it has been the high standard of living of the great Middle Class group in our country which has distinguished life in America from that in other countries.

Around this Middle Class there has grown up a hundred and one "service industries" catering to the very needs of the group — needs which, in the older countries, would very often be called luxuries. Few appreciate the amount of capital invested or the number of people employed in these "service industries."

It was the Middle Class which supported industries like the theater, movies, radio, cosmetics, travel, the florist, hairdressing, dentists, and doctors — to mention only a few.

But now, caught between organized labor, organized Government and organized agriculture, the great unorganized Middle Class in America is rapidly meeting the same fate which overtook it in Europe.

And — lest we forget — the real tax burden is still ahead of us, as everything we eat, drink, wear and play in, is destined to be taxed to a new high point of liquidation.

'Oil' Out for Britain

MONTHS ago we were advised that this war would be fought on the basis of oil because the European armies had become mechanized and dependent upon petroleum products.

Without its being advertised to the American public, the Suez Canal has been practically blocked and the oil lines from Iran and Iraq have been smashed.

The result is that the British army and navy operating in and around the Mediterranean, are in a desperate condition.

When that news first reached America towards the close of last month, Mr. Roosevelt and his British advisers requested ships —fifty American oil tankers—to be put in service for the British immediately.

Thus, all operators of American tankers of more than 5,000 gross tons are being called on for aid in the program.

It is planned that oil from Venezuela and North America will be ferried across to England or convoyed to some rendezvous in mid-Atlantic to supply the English navy.

* * *

Britain's war has been lost. It is now America's war. Or, more correctly, it is now the war of the internationalists who control America.

Little by little it is being revealed that Great Britain was nothing more than a framework around which the internationalists built their spider's web of exploitation.

En masse, these internationalists have descended upon America.

In future days the American workmen and the American farmers can expect the same treatment accorded to the English workers and farmers during the past century or so.

Plenty of fool's gold will be available for the next five or seven years.

Following that, comes the pay-off.

Comment

The Poor Man Pays

ONE-SIXTH of the families in the United States are reported to be living on $500 a year or less. This represents a statement covering more than 20-million Americans who escape the visible taxes but who pay the consumer's taxes provided they eat food, wear clothing, attend motion pictures, buy gas and patronize an undertaker.

It appears that these $500 a year, or less, families pay about $100 each in hidden taxes.

As a matter of fact, more than 70 per cent of Mr. Morgenthau, Junior's, public income is represented by consumer's tax.

And the balance—less than 30 per cent—is represented by those taxes on incomes, profits, etc.

These are official Government figures disclosed by the T.N.E.C. — The Temporary National Economic Committee—in the publications entitled "*Who Pays Taxes*" and "*Taxation. Recovery and Defense.*"

These studies show that, between the years 1930 and 1938, taxation rose by 71 per cent and consumers in low income groups carried the brunt of this increase.

These studies also show that the lowest income group—$500 a year or less—paid 22 per cent of its total income in hidden taxes of all kinds as compared to the $10,000 and $15,000 groups who pay only a fraction over 17 per cent.

The factory worker is too busy working or enjoying his leisure to study the benedictions conferred upon him by the War Deal party—formerly the New Deal party.

This type of citizen, lured by fool's gold, will see the factories close at the conclusion of the war—and the taxes remain *in statu quo*.

Following the War Deal party regime, it is to be expected that labor organizations, under the direction of Marxist-minded leaders, will take over and abolish all debts as did Russia.

Who can blame them, in view of the fact that our moral and political leaders have permitted themselves to be used as rubber stamps and *claque* boys for the war party?

The Political Parade

GEORGE ROTHWELL BROWN writes a syndicated news article entitled "*The Political Parade.*"

Commenting recently on Senator Burton K. Wheeler's speaking tour of the West, Mr. Brown said that it "should be a revelation to President Roosevelt and to the United States Senate."

Continuing, Mr. Brown adds:

"The American people are so far from being united behind the President in the matter of joining with him in participation in the European war that many people one talks with in this part of the Far West say that a declaration of war by Congress would lead to revolution.

"That is undoubtedly an extreme and an erroneous view. Once the Government commits the people to war, the people will support the Government. They always have, and I have no reason to feel they would ever do otherwise.

"But if war results from the Roosevelt-Hull policy of policing the whole world, from Greenland's icy mountains to India's coral strand, the people will hold Mr. Roosevelt to what Woodrow Wilson would call 'strict accountability.' . . .

"One gathered that the people are beginning to realize that Mr. Roosevelt has now committed himself to two policies, to both of which he is solemnly pledged, but which are leading him in opposite directions because they are contradictory of each other.

"It is as though the President were trying to cross Niagara on a couple of tightropes—by going in both directions at the same time. What a trick! But can he do it? The people definitely are now asking themselves this question.

"On the one hand, the President is pledged not to involve the United States in the war.

"On the other hand, he has pledged himself to victory.

"How can he keep both promises?

* * *

"As one travels away from the Eastern seaboard he begins to realize that virtually the whole of the sentiment in this country favorable to war is confined to Washington. The sentiment for peace increases with every mile one travels beyond the Potomac."

Hot Oil

A MINOR Teapot Dome scandal has been developing in Washington. The principal actors in the affair are none other than Harold Ickes and Thomas Corcoran.

The scandal began when the Secretary of the Interior was called in to testify before a House subcommittee investigating the efforts of one R. E. Havenstrite to obtain Government funds for oil operations in Alaska.

Mr. Ickes' testimony was so damaging to himself and the Department of the Interior that Democratic Congressmen had it removed from the record.

At this point the whole matter might have been dropped had it not been for the Washington correspondent of the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*.

Seeing no particular reason why Mr. Ickes' mistakes should be hidden from the public, this St. Louis reporter turned detective and after some effort released a very interesting story.

It seems that Mr. Havenstrite, who operates "wildcat" oil wells, wanted to have the Navy Department promise to buy and store all the petroleum drilled out by his company.

With this promise, Mr. Havenstrite could then borrow enough money from the Reconstruction Finance Company to continue drilling.

Accordingly, Mr. Havenstrite hired Thomas Corcoran, ex-New Deal brain truster, as his lawyer and lobbyist.

Mr. Corcoran got in touch with Mr. Ickes, and the latter immediately arranged a luncheon date with Secretary of the Navy Frank Knox.

Mr. Knox, however, was not very enthusiastic about a project which would make the Government the backer of a wildcat oil operation.

But Ickes kept putting on the pressure. Just before he sailed on his recent Caribbean cruise with President Roosevelt, he sent a letter to Secretary Knox asking him to reconsider.

The phraseology of the letter was so strong that one of Ickes' aides became alarmed and called up Mr. Knox and told him not to think that the Secretary of the Interior was trying to force the deal through.

Knox said he would not do anything until Ickes returned from his sea journey, at which time the deal was promptly called off.

The moral of the story is that Ickes, who has long denounced political lawyers who exert pressure on Government departments in behalf of private businessmen, did not hesitate to join with Tommy Corcoran in an attempt to put on a little pressure themselves, to get Government money for an oil operator.

Federal Reserve Banks

MR. E. J. KOLLER of Salt Lake City, Utah, invites us to print his notes on the Federal Reserve Bank which are as follows:

"Federal Reserve banks purchase interest-bearing, tax-exempt Government bonds and notes by issuing credits against their own liabilities, cost of these credits being only that of bookkeeping. March 19, 1941, the 12 Reserve Banks held $20,103,281,000 of gold certificates and $2,184,100,000 of Government bonds and notes.

"The 6,486 member banks have $15,823,000,000 of Government securities obtained by creating deposits between banks and offsetting Government checks against these debts. In 1933 the Reserve System held $13,260,700,000 of gold, gold certificates and Government securities. In 1941 their hoard is $38,110,381,000 of gold certificates, Government bonds, bills and notes secured from United States Treasury by manipulation of costless credits and costless currency.

"In 1933, the Government debt was $22,160,000,000; in 1941 it is soaring to $75,000,000,000. Between these dates Reserve System enriched themselves by $24,850,000,000, while American public has been plunged into the greatest mire of debt in the history of the nation. There's democracy for you—Democracy in reverse!

"The 12 Reserve Banks held March 19, 1941, $13,740,639,000 cash reserves of member banks. These reserves cost Reserve Banks — nothing. They are required for special interests, by a special people, who constitute an invisible government that prevents people of United States from using their own money and forces them to use interest-bearing credits of moneyless banks.

"When the Reserve System was organized in 1913, we were told it was patterned after the great central European banking systems—the great central European banking systems that have made slaves out of the industrial workers and peasants out of the farmers.

"This is being accomplished in the United States."

NAMES OF THE CONTESTANTS WILL APPEAR NEXT WEEK!

Next week will be a "red letter" one in the annals of SOCIAL JUSTICE'S great "JUBILEE" $15,000.00 prize contest. In our next issue we shall publish the names of all contestants who have entered up to that time.

This is a very important fact for you to remember. We are assuming that you have planned on entering the great campaign. Perhaps you have already lined up a number of your friends and acquaintances who will become subscribers to SOCIAL JUSTICE so as to help you win.

It is important that you delay your entrance no longer, but send in your Entry Blank and "Start-Off" subscription this week.

By doing this you will place your name before your friends throughout your community. They will then know you are in the Contest and hard at it to win the Grand Prize of $2,500.00.

In contests of this nature many persons, who see the names of friends in the campaign, send in their subscriptions unknown to the contestant and ask that they be credited to the contestant's account.

For this reason, it is important for you to enter early — so that your friends may know.

It stands to reason that you can pile up a lot higher vote by getting in early — before you have any competition in your town, city or community. You will be alone in the field. You can make a "clean sweep" before anyone else enters the race. And — you have only until June 30 to receive a Bonus of 40,000 Extra Votes on new subscriptions of one or two years.

Of course, you already know the purpose of this great campaign. This is Father Coughlin's Jubilee year. It is the wish of SOCIAL JUSTICE that, working together, we all pay tribute to him by doubling or trebling the circulation of the weekly magazine he founded.

We need the help of every reader in this most worthy task. We need YOUR help — early. We need the assistance of active men and women, boys and girls, who wish to see Father Coughlin's principles of social justice brought to the serious consideration of millions of additional Americans.

Of course, you are after the prizes — and why not? There is a mighty fine list of them — 135 in all. A First Grand Prize of $2,500.00; a Second Grand Prize of $1,500.00; a Third Grand Prize of $1,250.00 — and 132 more.

In addition, every contestant who does not win a grand prize will be rewarded for time, effort and co-operation by a commission of TEN PER CENT on all cash business turned in.

Remember next week! The names of contestants will be in type on these pages.

Be among them by entering this very hour.

THESE PRIZES ARE SPECIAL

There are big prizes and commissions for every person who takes part in this great "JUBILEE" Contest.

Besides the big awards ranging down from $2,500.00 to $300.00, there are 127 additional cash prizes to be awarded. There are 100 additional Grand Prizes, totaling $1,100.00. These will range downwards from $150.00 and will be awarded to votes credited.

Then, there are 27 Special Cash Prizes which will be awarded during the progress of the contest to those contestants who show the best periodical results.

Names and standings of all contestants will be made public from time to time during the contest. Get your name in early!

THEY ARE READY--ARE YOU?

FIRST GRAND PRIZE	$2,500
Second Grand Prize	1,500
Third Grand Prize	1,250
Fourth Grand Prize	750
Fifth Grand Prize	650
Sixth Grand Prize	550
Seventh Grand Prize	400
Eighth Grand Prize	300
100 Additional Grand Prizes	1,000
27 Special Cash Prizes	1,100
TOTAL CASH PRIZES	$10,000

"GET YOUR NAME IN THE POT" IN TIME FOR NEXT WEEK!

BIG BONUS (40,000) VOTE OFFER EXPIRES ON JUNE 30

Contestants in the great "JUBILEE" Contest will be well rewarded for early entry and quick action.

The first period of the Contest will be known as the "SUNRISE PERIOD." It opened May 5 and closes at Midnight of Monday, June 30. During this period every NEW subscription of one year ($3.00) or two years ($6.00) will entitle the contestant to

40,000 Bonus Votes Plus Vote-Credit
According to Regular Schedule

The Second Period of the Contest will open on July 1 and will close at Midnight of Monday, July 28. During this period every NEW subscription of one year ($3.00) or two years ($6.00) shall entitle the contestant to

30,000 Bonus Votes Plus Vote-Credit
According to Regular Schedule

The Third Period of the Contest will open on July 29 and will close at Midnight of Monday, August 11. During this period every NEW subscription of one year ($3.00) or two years ($6.00) will entitle the contestant to

25,000 Bonus Votes Plus Vote-Credit
According to Regular Schedule

The Fourth or closing period of the Contest will open on August 12 and will close at Midnight of Tuesday, August 26. During this period every NEW subscription of one year ($3.00) or two years ($6.00) will entitle the contestant to

20,000 Bonus Votes Plus Vote-Credit
According to Regular Schedule

So, you see the reason for entering NOW. The largest Bonus offer is made during this "SUNRISE PERIOD." Enter today. Within a few days your subscription books and record sheets will be sent you. Then you can "hit the ball."

RULES OF THE CONTEST

1. Any man or woman over 16 years of age, excepting employees or close relatives of employees of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine may become a contestant. The management reserves the right to reject objectionable nominations.

2. Any contestant may enter from the district in which he or she resides or from the district in which he or she is employed.

3. Contestants are not confined to their own district in which to obtain votes and subscriptions, but may take orders anywhere, and anyone may assist them. Contestants must make a cash report during each of the final two weeks of the contest.

4. Votes are not transferable from one active contestant to another active contestant. Contestants cannot withdraw in favor of other active contestants; nor can contestants give or transfer subscriptions to another contestant.

5. Votes will be issued on paid-in-advance subscriptions (new or renewal) for SOCIAL JUSTICE, including nomination coupons and free votes.

6. A NEW subscriber is one who was not taking SOCIAL JUSTICE by mail when the contest was announced. Votes are issued, subject to verification. Contestants who turn in old (or renewal) subscriptions marked "new" may forfeit votes received on such subscriptions.

7. No statement, assertion or promise, either written or verbal, made by any of the solicitors, agents, contestants or any other person, other than published in SOCIAL JUSTICE, will be recognized by the publishers or the contest management.

8. It is distinctly understood and agreed that all contestants will be responsible for all moneys collected, and that they will remit such amounts in full on schedule at frequent intervals, or on demand to the contest department.

9. Prizes are to be delivered to the winners as soon as the official judges announce the results of the contest. In case of a tie for any prizes the tying contestants will receive duplicate prizes or their equivalents.

10. The publishers reserve the right to place additional prizes on the list.

11. A cash commission of 10 per cent on all business done is guaranteed every participant. Cash commissions will be paid each candidate at the end of the Second Period and at the close of the contest. However, cash commissions paid will be charged against whatever grand prize the contestant may win, and must be liquidated or deducted from the final grand prize at the end. Contestants may not deduct commissions before sending in subscription money.

12. The contest opens at once and closes on August 26, 1941.

13. Any questions or controversies that may arise are to be settled by the Contest Manager, and his decision will be final and conclusive.

14. In case of typographical or other error, it is understood that neither the publisher nor the Contest management shall be held responsible, except for the necessary correction of same.

15. In accepting entrance, all candidates agree to abide by all the rules and conditions named; and to abide by the decisions of the judges as final.

YOU WIN GRAND PRIZE OR GET 10% COMMISSION

SOCIAL JUSTICE wishes to compensate every contestant who takes part in this great "JUBILEE" drive. For that reason, a commission of TEN PER CENT will be paid to all participants who do not win grand prizes. (Read Rule No. 11.)

Commission checks will be sent out from our offices at the end of the Second Period and at the close of the contest. Contestants will understand this regulation is made in order to avert confusion. If campaigners should deduct their commissions before sending in their subscription money, it would render the keeping of our books and records much more difficult.

As soon as your Entry Blank and "Start-Off" Coupons are received, you will be sent all necessary materials such as subscription books and record sheets.

Remember, the Entry Blank entitles you to 5,000 Free Votes right at the start. The "Start-Off" subscription blank, accompanied by your own or some other person's YEARLY subscription, either OLD or NEW, entitles you to 20,000 additional starting Votes. On top of this, you receive credit according to Regular Vote Schedule.

Schedule of Regular Votes

ON PREPAID SUBSCRIPTIONS TO

Social Justice Magazine

Subscriptions	Votes
6 months ($1.50)	4,000
1 year ($3.00)	10,000
2 years ($6.00)	30,000

The above are Regular Votes and will maintain the same values on both new and renewed subscriptions throughout the Contest.

BONUS VOTES

On each NEW subscription (2 years or 1 year), the following bonuses will be allowed:

40,000 EXTRA Votes up to June 30.

30,000 EXTRA Votes from July 1 to July 28.

25,000 EXTRA Votes from July 29 to August 11.

20,000 EXTRA Votes from August 12 to August 26.

Extra or Bonus Votes are issued and credited only after conclusion of the Contest.

Address All Contest Mail to:

"CONTEST MANAGER"

Social Justice

Royal Oak • Michigan

GET YOUR NAME ON LIST WITH THESE

"START OFF" Subscription Coupon

(Good for 20,000 Votes)

Return this Coupon to the Contest Manager with your first yearly subscription payment ($3.00), either old or new, and you will receive 20,000 Votes, in addition to the Votes allowed on Regular Vote Schedule.

Name of subscriber _____

Address _____

City or Town _____

State _____

(Your own subscription or renewal will count.)

This Coupon accompanied by the Entry Blank and your first yearly subscription (your own or somebody else's) will start you in the great Contest with 25,000 Votes, plus the Regular Votes on the subscription as shown in the Regular Vote Schedule. Only one of these Coupons will be credited to a contestant.

ENTRY BLANK

(Good for 5,000 Votes)

_____, 1941

Contest Manager,
SOCIAL JUSTICE,
Royal Oak, Michigan.

Please enter my name as a Contestant in your "JUBILEE" Contest. I understand this Blank filled out and sent in will entitle me to 5,000 Votes and that my first yearly subscription, old or new, will entitle me to 20,000 Votes.

My Name Is _____

My Address Is _____

City or Town _____ *State* _____

ONLY ONE OF THESE COUPONS WILL BE CREDITED TO A CONTESTANT.

A Letter From Lancashire

Don't Expect Gratitude If We Aid Britain

GREAT literature is fashioned out of life. The American wife of a British army officer, writing a simple letter to relatives in the United States, very probably gave no thought to its preservation or possible publication.

But nothing that has come out of the war — no account by any war correspondent, nor any description by famous writers taking a look at the war — has yet equalled this simple housewife's letter for graphic portrayal of life under the *blitz* or accurate analysis of what the English think of their American cousins.

The letter appeared in the *Los Angeles Daily News* (April 23), a real "break" for that journal, and we quote from it here:

"I wish above all things that I could convey to you the difficulties of writing to someone who is not actually in this mad, bad world of war. I know you have a vivid imagination and keen sympathies, but the whole atmosphere of life today is so nebulous that I lack the skill to make even an attempt to get it on paper.

"Censorship has difficulties too, and if I told you the things we most dread over here, they would surely be cut out, since they would offer a free tip to the Germans should this letter fall into wrong hands. I don't even know how far I am permitted to go in talking about politics, so will have to generalize about that, too . . .

"I know you must have some curiosity about us hibernating freaks, who are now coming up to look at the full moon and ducking our heads in faster than we stuck them out!

"During last October we came to Manchester, as Clifford has been transferred to this part of England for the duration of the war. We endured the first and worst part of the London *blitz*, and for the last few weeks never went to bed at all, or took our clothes off except for a hurried bath.

"It was a hellish kind of life, with the days so beautiful and sunny and the nights so dreaded.

"We hadn't adapted ourselves to continual night raids, and it took some time to establish a routine that might be called normal. And always of course, in the back of our minds, was the thought, 'When will it be our turn?'

"When this war is over and censorship is a thing of the past, there will be some pretty terrible stories about those Winter months and the things people learned to see and fear.

* * *

"No doubt you have had a surfeit of bomb stories in the papers, so I won't bore you with details. All I can say, after a great deal of experience, is that raids are far different from what you imagine, but not one bit easier

"It's the waiting that is so hard; to hear the planes droning around your neighborhood, not for minutes but hour after hour, flying almost out of earshot, only to turn and come back, swoop low suddenly, drop a few bombs which you hear whistling down and your building shakes and shudders from the shock.

"The tension eases, only to return again soon, and thus you spend the night, getting some sleep toward dawn and waking up indescribably weary, get up off a dirty hard floor, and get ready to face another day. But at the end of that day is another night, like the last or maybe worse. And nothing to look forward to at all.

"Well, that's what it was like for the lucky ones who weren't casualties last Autumn, but grading this unnatural existence, until a night free of raids seems empty.

"We did enjoy getting into a real bed though, when we came up to Manchester, and to lie there and read seemed absolutely paradise. To wear a nightgown and have bare feet, and to sleep all night through, I can't describe what it meant. We got a little flat near the center of town, had shelves and bookcases put up, spent quite a bit of money (for wartime) on it, and just got settled in it when the Christmas *blitz* on Manchester blew the whole works to pieces!

"Our room flew off in pieces, the ceiling came down, doors flew around the place, windows and frames came in, soot from the chimney covered every square inch of living room, and the walls cracked right open to the elements. All this happened in about one second, while we were sitting under the stairs, huddled up and listening for the next one.

* * *

"Blast is a strange thing, for it moves with the speed of light and has the force of a steel bar, and although I know the amazing things it can do, I never cease to marvel at the speed and in a sense the silence with which it works. Of course the explosion of a bursting bomb is terrific (the sound I mean), and would quite naturally overshadow such a trivial thing as ripping doors from their hinges, lots of them at once and throwing them across the room.

"Such funny things happen, that have a peculiar fascination when they are part of your own experience. For instance, at the top of our stairs from the front door was a window we could never open: it had swelled and stuck, so we just left it alone.

"Beneath it was a table on which we had a little Japanese bowl. Well, the blast from this bomb opened the window both top and bottom, as neatly and evenly as you could imagine, blew off the front door, and blew the bowl down the stairs, out the door, where I found it broken on the sidewalk the next morning.

"Next door to us a woman's house was completely wrecked, yet on her back steps stood a milk bottle full and unharmed. We were weeks getting the plaster and broken glass out of our pockets, and I still find it at the bottom of a box of kleenex or in the back of a drawer. Talk about petty inconvenience, you ought to try to clear up after a mess like that.

"We lived near a busy main thoroughfare, but the next morning all traffic had been diverted because of fire or bomb craters, so there was absolute uncanny silence.

"All we heard was the sound of people shoveling glass, plaster and broken furniture out of their doors and windows onto the yard below. It seemed the most natural thing in the world to pick up what had been our lampstand and throw it out the window.

"Only occasionally, to break the monotony, an ambulance would pass the front door, going very slowly. People were still being dug out of the building about 150 feet from us, which had had a direct hit and had been the immediate cause of our own damage, although other bombs in the neighborhood added their share.

"I was awfully dazed and my ears ached from concussion, and I feared for a while I would never get over the popping deafness. It was weeks before we could get the dryness out of our throats, for blast just rams dirt and dust down your mouth, ears and nose. But we did recover our senses more or less, and came out here to live, as our old place would take months to repair, if it didn't get hit first.

"Now we are out in the country, or rather what is a mixture of agriculture and cotton mill industry. This is a little town of about 10,000, and was formerly a beehive of prosperity for the few and degradation and poverty for the many. It is a bleak, ugly part of England, where a strong wind always blows and no tree can stand. The mills are nearly all closed now, because of the shipping difficulties about bringing cotton from America. We are living in a sort of boarding house, and shall stay here indefinitely, as neither of us have any desire to set up housekeeping until science has learned to cope with the night bomber.

* * *

"As you can imagine, Roosevelt and America are very much in the news at present, because of the help which folks hope will be coming, but if I am permitted to write frankly, I don't think we as a nation are any more popular than we have ever been.

"I have come to the conclusion that no European nation really likes any other country at all, and if we Americans feel a friendly interest in other folks, it is because we are such a conglomeration ourselves that we have not developed a sense of national or racial superiority — which heaven forbid we ever should do.

"Now, as you know, the Englishman regards himself as the flower of creation, excellent beyond compare, and if everybody else will admit his natural advantages, then he is ready enough to meet them on a common footing. But I feel a great many people here look on help from us in the same way as Elizabeth walked on Raleigh's coat — no more than her due.

"Now whatever you feel about imperialism and the public school *caste* system, you and I are probably agreed that it would

(Continued on Page 13)

Tourists

THE surest sign of a future invasion in this fifth-column world is the arrival of hundreds of "tourists" in the country to be attacked

This method played one of the most important parts in the conquest of Poland, Denmark, Norway, Holland, etc

A late check in Washington shows that nearly 1,000 British "employees" have taken up residence in the nation's capital since the outbreak of the war.

Comment

(Continued from Page 12)

be a very serious thing if England should lose this war. Therefore, we ought to help in every way we can, but I wish it were possible to keep public sentiment on a more intellectual level, with not so much emotion and sentiment coming in. For I feel in my bones that when this war is over, assuming England wins, that Americans will expect a certain amount of gratitude from the English, *which they will never get in a thousand years!*

* * *

"I have never heard an Englishman admit that we did a thing in the last war to really help; we might just as well have kept out of it altogether as far as the result was concerned — at least so they say.

". . . And it will be the same after this war, too, just see if it isn't. We have the reputation for being great talkers, but the Uncle Shylock legend is far from dead and his ghost will haunt parliament for many a day.

"You are capable of thinking objectively (forgive me for saying that, but it is a rare compliment these days) and you can see how the French must feel toward the English, who didn't send enough help and who weren't any more ready either materially or mentally for the German technique.

"Now the English are utterly incapable of seeing the French point of view; the old entente cordiale has died forever and folks here say, 'Let them starve; they should have thought about that before they gave in.'

"You and I try to see both sides, but it's a thing you can't mention over here.

"I feel certain that if England should be beaten, their feelings toward the United States would be just the same as those of the French toward England. And there is no enmity so bitter as that between former friends.

"You may wonder what I am getting at in all this ramble; just this, I think: *Give Britain all the help possible, but do it with our eyes open and don't expect any thanks for it,* then we won't be disappointed and disillusioned in the end . . .

"I have given your name and address to three different persons in England, so in case I am killed you will be notified by someone. Sometimes, I feel pretty pessimistic, but I think it's really only the *scurvy!*"

Charles Lindbergh

"I DO NOT know how much longer free speech will be allowed in this country. But as long as our laws permit it, I intend to continue telling you what I believe," declared former Colonel Charles Lindbergh speaking recently at Minneapolis.

"There was once a time in America when we could impose our will by vote," Lindbergh said.

"Many of us thought we were still operating under that principle at the elections last November. Both political parties had adopted platforms against intervention in this war . . . But it now seems doubtful that we even had two parties last November, at least as far as the presidential candidates were concerned.

"The people of the nation were not given the chance to vote on the greatest issue of our generation — the issue of foreign war. And yet we are told that we must go to Europe to fight for the very principles of democracy that were denied to us in our own nation last November.

"I doubt that any country there has been more misled about the actual developments of the war."

* * *

Lindbergh reiterated his belief that "England cannot win the war" and said the idea that the entire continent of Europe could be blockaded into submission was "ridiculous."

"Short of an internal collapse, of which there is no sign today, the only way Germany could be defeated is by an invasion," he said.

"Even if invasion were possible, which I do not believe, the resulting devastation would be so great that Europe could not recover for generations if it could recover at all."

Lindbergh said he had been called a "pro-Nazi" in 1938 for saying that the German air force was stronger than any combination that could be brought against it.

In 1939, he said, he was accused of being un-American for saying that the war could not be won by sending a "few thousand airplanes and cannon to Europe."

When in 1940 he said the phrase "steps short of war" was a mask for war itself he was called a "Hitler agent."

"When I tell you today that we are not in a position to win this war for England, I am charged with being disloyal by the same politicians and idealists who denied all the other statements when I made them," Lindbergh said.

"But they cannot point to a single one that has not turned out to be true. These charges undoubtedly will continue . . ."

* * *

Recalling that his father as a member of Congress spoke out a generation ago in Minnesota against American intervention in the World War, Lindbergh said he was only repeating what his father had said when he declared:

"What happens in Europe and Asia is of secondary importance to what is happening to us here in our own land. It is far more essential for this country to have farms without mortgages, workmen with their own homes, and young people who can afford families, than it is to crusade abroad for freedoms that are tottering in our own country."

Lindbergh declared that his father "believed that this country had a destiny of its own."

"When my father charged political and financial interests with leading us to war, his meetings were broken up, his patriotism was questioned, and the plates of his book were destroyed by Government agents.

"Speakers from England are touring our country today, as they did a generation ago. Our college presidents are shouting for war, just as they did before. If only we send our armies abroad once more, they tell us, if only enough people in Europe can be starved and killed, we will be able to spread peace, and democracy, and our way of life over the entire earth.

"People who have been pacifists all their lives are now saying that peace must be brought by war. Ministers are preaching that Christianity must be spread by famine. Our Government asks us to preserve democracy abroad by creating a dictatorship in our own country. And all this in the name of idealism."

A Letter to Lindy

"MAY I congratulate you on your resignation from the Army Reserve Corps and still call you Colonel," says a Christian clergyman's letter to Charles Lindbergh, a copy of which has been forwarded to SOCIAL JUSTICE.

"Like that of the elder La Follette and your own dear father, a generation ago, yours is a difficult and thankless — but, I hope, not futile — task of warning your fellow citizens of the impending war into which 'the powers' are gradually easing us . . .

"You do not ask lesser men to 'take the rap' when feelers do not pan out as expected. You have not carried gold on one shoulder and cement on the other. Nor is there an oil can on the tip of your tongue!

"You have stated your case and your opinions frankly, calmly, soberly, unpretentiously and, may I add, with honesty and without a big stick. Your vision is not blurred by conflicting ideals and contradictory ideas.

"When reviled you reviled not. Insulted and smeared, you smeared and insulted not. Thus you have kept your composure, with escutcheon clear and honor untainted.

"You, likewise, believe in free speech. You say what you believe (no matter how mildly) and you believe what you say. In short, you are not only a great American in the midst of Tories but also a Christian and a gentleman among pretenders.

"You still have faith in the honesty and integrity of the masses — no matter how beguiled and deceived by war propaganda in Washington and Hollywood, in press and on radio.

"You rightly contend that only a deceived populace, unmindful of the realities behind the smokescreen, can be stampeded into a foreign war, not of our making and none of our business.

"Are the American people responsible for the ill-advised and futile promises of British and American leaders, talking without due authority and apparently without respect to consequences?

"Must the American people goosestep to the war-mongering policies and speeches of leadership which lacks constitutional power to declare war?

"Must the American people become the policemen of the world, the Guard of the Empire (now toppling), the minute men of the international bankers (now at the mercy of their own follies)?

"Need the American people cringe as stool-pigeons to greedy munition makers and unconscionable international *cliques* and secret societies — heaven-bent on "democracy" and hell-bent to destruction!

"If worst comes to the worst, let him who hopes to profit on the loss of others, and live on the death of others and rule on the grave of others, and sway the destiny of others — let these fight their own battles, shed their own blood, dig their own grave and bury their own carcass.

"Let the British Empire fend for itself. Let the international bankers fend for themselves. Let the French Orient and her allied *cliques* fend for themselves. Let the ubiquitous usurpers and usurers fend for themselves.

"Or else, come out clean, frank, honorable — for justice and for peace — not the injustice and strife of the *status quo.*"

Comment

Getting Us into War

THERE is a new book out — a book which subscribers to SOCIAL JUSTICE should read.

It is entitled *"Getting Us Into War."* The author is Porter Sargent.

While mentioning books, it might be worthwhile to secure a copy of *"America Looks at Spain"* by Mervin K. Hart. It discloses the identity, in a manner, of the people who "run" the United States Government.

In the April 27th edition of the *Boston Post*, Hanton Downey contributed an article relative to the Sargent book.

Giving due credit and several bows to Mr. Downey for his splendid piece of writing, and to the *Boston Post*, we quote:

"Well, we were over to 11 Beacon street yesterday to go a few Q-and-A rounds with the sprightly and truculently minded old Porter Sargent and, while emerging safely from the fray, we don't mind telling you that he still wags a salty and wickedly critical tongue.

"If you happen not to know anything of Mr. Sargent — sometimes called 'the Stormy Petrel of Beacon Street,' and often worse — then, kind reader, consider yourself as among the blessed and fortunate of the day. Indeed, you will be one of the few that the aforesaid gentleman has not, at some time or another, made madder 'n all hell!

"When it comes down to hurling brickbats through heavily tapestried windows into the decorous and socially sacred parlors of the town's 'best people'; deflating the stuffed shirts of politics and finance; poking fun at *parvenus* and publicity hounds, smashing images and idols and giving the 'hot foot' to Harvard's mighty and eminent, Porter Sargent stands all alone.

"Though long noted as a recognized authority and professional adviser on secondary education his fame shall ever be that of the great debunker. For the last 40 years he has figured prominently in the news and the most rancorous of controversies. Again he is about to crash the headlines. He has written another book, *'Getting Us Into War.'*

* * *

"His latest effusion, like all of his thought and squabble-provoking works, is going to stir the wrath of a lot of noted people, principally in Washington, Wall Street, Boston and Cambridge. And there can be no questioning that the book will prove quite upsetting to the calm and phlegm of Britishers, especially the gay refugees of the Stork Club.

"The highly sensational and revealing volume of 640 tightly packed pages is, according to Sargentian lights, really a 'behind the scenes' expose of how the British are propagandizing the United States into World War II.

"The work, which the author refers to as a scrapbook, is now being despatched to leaders of the Roosevelt administration, every member of Congress, and prominent educators, especially university presidents and students of the social sciences.

"While a devastating attack upon the pro-British propagandists *'Getting Us Into War'* is in itself about as powerful a bit of propaganda as has ever rolled off the printing press. It is a full-length definition of the position of an isolationist who insists he is only a realist striving to impress upon the people of the United States that the mess in which Britain now finds itself is none of their business.

* * *

" 'Why, the British Empire, so frequently called a Commonwealth of Nations, is nothing less than a great trading organization,' exclaimed Mr. Sargent. 'And that great trading organization, like others of its kind, is through, licked, ready to fold up and go out of business.

" 'When the history of the momentous days, through which the world is now passing, comes to be written, the downfall of the world's greatest and oldest empire will not be acclaimed as a Hitlerian triumph. It will be said that, through selfishness, greed, and a lust for power and gold, combined with a viciously degrading social caste system and an educational programme that produced chiefly snobs and autocrats, the British aristocracy and peerage destroyed the empire.

" 'Over a long period of time, the British have waxed fat and prosperous, but today they are bloated and flabby and helpless to save themselves from a fate that cannot be thwarted.

" 'Realizing their predicament, the British upper classes — the people who from behind the scenes pull the strings and wield the power — are now conditioning the American for the role of rescuer and deliverer.'

* * *

"Mr. Sargent charged that at the present time there are in the United States 10,000 paid Britishers, who are striving to run Americans for the sake of the empire, telling the sons and daughters of Columbia how to act, what to think and what not to think, and what to say and what not to say. And these propagandists, believe it or not, are getting results.

" 'And by results,' continued Mr. Sargent, 'I mean they're getting us into a war — in fact, we're in the war already as an out-and-out ally of Britain — yes, getting us into a war which does not concern us.

" 'On the payroll of the British Embassy in Washington are 2000 John Bulls, and 4000 more in the British purchasing department in New York City. Thus, the nation's Capital and our largest city are the hotbeds of pro-British propaganda. Of course, Boston and other centres of wealth and industry are also flooded with pro-British propagandists.'

"As Mr. Sargent airs his views — often in pithy and salty language, plus a felicity of invective all his own — one can almost visualize a motley of enemies of democracy crashing the American scene. Some of them in the parade are college presidents, not a few of whom are recent converts from liberalism to Toryism, others are directly or indirectly in the pay of the British government, numbers are merely misguided patriots, and many are ambitious and profit-seeking. All are, however, propagandists.

"Though he would like to consign propaganda and all its votaries to the Stygian regions, Mr. Sargent does not rant, rail, or wail. He is more of the lecturer — or as he puts it 'an observer and recorder.' After all, he is a Harvard graduate, and a former teacher of note at his *alma mater*. He is not a dragon slayer, nor rabble rouser.

"Leading the list of his pet peeves are the following: Roosevelt's foreign policy; the pro-British activities of President Conant and other higher-ups of Harvard; the domination of Harvard by the House of Morgan, through Thomas Lamont, and the fawning and bootlicking attitude of American social climbers in the presence of titled British refugees.

* * *

"Of one thing the world at large may be assured — Porter Sargent is the paradox of all paradoxes. He is the strictly Harvard product, yet he damns the famous institution of learning most heartily. His utterances about the British have a distinctly Anglophobic savor, yet he boasts of being pro-British and dreads to think of what will happen when the empire falls.

" 'I have been pro-British since William the illegitimate and pro-American since the time of John Harvard,' he asserted, 'but I don't like the foreign policy of the desperate crew that is running England and the empire to save their own fortunes. But that is England's business.

" 'What I am howling about is the way we Americans are swallowing bunk, poison, just as we did 24 years ago. What I object to is the growing totalitarianism in this country which means dictatorship, the ending of the freedom of speech and the things that we value under the fuzzy designation of "democracy." '

"Though Mr. Sargent is seemingly of the opinion that the world is going to the bowwows, he remains the affable and personable fellow, able to laugh heartily at the foibles of his fellows and smile at his own whimsicalities. What impressed us most about the man was his sleek, well-groomed and well-fed appearance, and as one who had not a worry in the world, save it be those two Harvard men—Franklin Delano Roosevelt and James Bryant Conant.

"We made our exit from the presence of Mr. Sargent laughing — wondering whether or not he had taken us for a spoofing joyride."

Oriental Sassoon

SENATOR Burton Wheeler recently made a speech in which he named various international bankers who were trying to plunge America into war.

According to *The Nation*, weekly interventionist magazine, when Mr. Wheeler was reproached for "naming only Jews," he stated that he had not been aware that one of the bankers mentioned, Sir Victor Sassoon, was Jewish.

The Senator said that Sir Victor, who is the richest man in China, had once entertained him in Shanghai in such oriental splendor *that he had assumed he was Chinese*

Comment

Senator Nye

THE *San Francisco Examiner,* in reporting a speech made by Senator Gerald P. Nye at Baltimore, Maryland, quotes his appeal to *"stop this war movement right where it is!"*

We cannot stop the war movement merely by talking about it. If the warmongers use violent methods to engage us in this carnival of destruction, the determined advocates of peace must do likewise.

Speaking with Kathleen Norris, noted novelist, before a cheering throng that overflowed the grand opera theater into the street plaza outside, the North Dakota noninterventionist warned that the Administration will extend a naval patrol clear across the Atlantic "if it can get away with it."

Mrs. Norris upheld the American Navy as now and always the first line of American defense, and declared:

"Our Government should make no commitments to foreign governments unless the American people are willing to fulfill them. There is a great deal of national honor involved in those promises.

"I not only feel that this country can defend itself from any foreign attack — I know it!"

Senator Nye accused President Roosevelt of misrepresenting the facts to the people, and said that when the President made his pronouncement of a new policy for freedom to all people of the world, "he didn't begin to mean what he said."

He continued:

"We must be made to fear that there might be Nazis in Greenland, that our shipping to England was being destroyed to the extent of 40 per cent, when no check of authorities reveals these fears to be in the slightest degree warranted.

"I am convinced the Administration will extend a patrol clear across the ocean if it thinks it can get away with it. We must stop that war movement right where it is now, and realize that anything we can do cannot help England win this war.

"Survival of the British Empire is not deserving of our involvement of sacrifices. It has been one of the worst influences in the world for many years. Notwithstanding, however, I am hopeful of the survival of the British people.

"What I am hopeful for is not a victory by either side, but a stalemate in this war which will bring about a just peace such as never has been written in Europe by victorious empires.

"I believe that the greatest service we have to offer the world is to maintain national solvency at home so that when the hour comes that I wish for — complete exhaustion of both sides — and they are groping in the dark for a helping hand, the United States of America will have it to extend."

Senator Nye rejected any thought of actual military invasion of this hemisphere by the Axis and declared Hitler will not be able to turn his back on the subjugated peoples of Europe long enough to plan or execute any attack upon the Western Hemisphere.

"He will find," the Senator continued, "that his domination of Europe will be a pain in his neck and his successors to come."

Mrs. Norris declared herself considerably encouraged by the growing spirit of determination on the part of the American people to stay clear of Europe's war.

She said:

"Our first line of defense is and always will be our own shores. The only Navy that will ever protect us is our own Navy. And the only Army upon which we may rely is an Army unbeaten as yet in history — our American Army.

"These we will support, these we will maintain, and in these we will put our confidence. For America, there can be no other choice."

A Levy on All Wealth

THE AMERICAN money system, as now operated, is based on debt.

Of the nearly $9-billion of currency and subsidiary coins now outside the Treasury, plus some $32-billion in demand bank deposits, there is less than $2-billion of currency and coin that are not based upon some form of debt, either public, private or bank debt.

Thus, in order to operate our debt money system, either private individuals, corporations or the Federal Government must go into debt in a constantly increasing amount to pay the accumulating interest requirements.

Obviously, this cannot go on indefinitely. What, in all common sense and sanity, is the limit?

The Federal Government is now "rushing toward wild inflation *and subsequent bankruptcy* to finance an expanding defense, or re-armament program, and make large gifts of money and munitions to many nations," warns a recent bulletin of the *Honest Money Founders.*

Taxable Government bonds must somehow be forced into the hands of individuals and corporations, in ever increasing amounts, if the privileged members of the "banking fraternity" are to be permitted to retain their tax-free Government bonds in their portfolios.

The *Whelchen Bill (H. R. 3105)* now pending in Congress aims to accomplish just this result.

Under the provisions of *H. R. 3105,* Congress does not even have to declare war. The President has only to declare that a "state of emergency exists," due to the imminence of war, and immediately assume dictatorial powers.

Then, every person will be compelled to register his goods, wealth, financial resources, and obligations with the Federal Government, and include in his financial statement not only his family home, but his life insurance policies and all sources of possible wealth and income at their appraised values.

The citizen would then be compelled to invest an arbitrary portion of his resources in 50-year Government bonds, bearing 1 per cent interest, or less, which interest would be taxable.

If the citizen should not have the cash on hand, or readily available, to buy his allotment of Government bonds, he will be forced to borrow, put up adequate collateral for security, and pay 6 per cent interest to the Government or to some private bank.

If the citizen fails to disclose his gross wealth and possessions, including the value of his home and insurance policies, he may be assessed a fine of $10,000 or imprisoned up to two years.

If the citizen fails to buy his full allotment of bonds, he will be fined up to $100,000 and imprisoned up to five years, or both.

Such a *capital levy on the wealth of the nation* would not be necessary—or possible —if Congress had used, or would still use, the power granted to it under the *Constitution* to issue and regulate the value of the nation's money.

Meantime what are YOU going to do about this confiscation of your property? Wait for it, or protest?

It seems to us that you ought to be on a very close personal letter-writing relationship with your Congressman in Washington by this time. That is, if America still is, or is to remain, a democracy.

War and the A.A.A.

AT A RECENT press conference Secretary Morgenthau struck hard at a proposal for a war tax on tea, cocoa, coffee and sugar saying he would resist levies on the "poor man's table" until there was no alternative.

Nevertheless, in trying to raise general food prices in the interest of farm prices, the Department of Agriculture (A.A.A.) has already successfully imposed a special sugar tax and another for the benefit of beet growers.

A day or two before his press conference, Mr. Morgenthau made an observation that was tantamount to a challenge to the Department of Agriculture.

The Secretary said a Treasury study strongly supports the theory that the Administration's farm aid program has benefited agriculture only to the amount of the Federal subsidies from the Treasury.

The above statement is most significant. It means that the Department of Agriculture did not benefit farmers with all the activities related to crop control, etc., etc.

The above statement means that the Department of Agriculture, under Vice-President Wallace, was a failure.

The above statement means that, were it not for the doles extended to the farmers, this Administration conferred no benefit upon them.

Representative Frank Carlson of Kansas observed that if Mr. Morgenthau's statement is true, "then it constitutes a most serious indictment of the present farm program."

The voters—and particularly, the farmers of America—should take to heart the statement emanating from Mr. Morgenthau.

Letters

(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

Is London Running America?

TO THE EDITOR:

The other night, London's short-wave radio announced that *"Mr. Roosevelt would make an announcement, toward the middle of May, that would shock the world."*

I don't know if the President has been officially informed of what he is supposed to do—about the middle of May—but whatever that announcement is, I am sure he can speak for himself, and not for the British government.

Whatever the announcement is supposed to be, we have a right to question *why London should know what the President of the United States is going to do before the American people know about it.*

Because our foreign policy is being made in London the popularity of the New Deal is dwindling from day to day. All the blustering of the Hulls, Stimsons, Knox and Peppers will have only a reverse effect on the people of the United States.

Cincinnati, Ohio —F.A.G.

Children's Prayers

TO THE EDITOR:

We should all agree heartily with the Holy Father in Rome in asking children to pray for peace.

Children have not yet acquired the artifices of grownups. If a child prays for peace his will be a sincere prayer — not a hypocritical covering up of his real thoughts.

Had the world listened to the Pope before and after World War No. 1, all the carnage, loss of life and property might have been saved in World War No. 2.

He who would win the name of truly great must understand his own age and the next, and "make the present ready to fulfill its prophecy, and with the future merge gently and peacefully, as wave with wave."

But is the world giving serious thought to His Holiness, Pope Pius, today? No! Materialism, profits, power politics, and a manufactured fear rules out religion and reason.

New York City —L.B.

A Thankless Task

TO THE EDITOR:

It always gives me great pleasure to show your magazine and talk with my Catholic and Protestant friends about the spirit of social justice as it is so ably presented.

Now it so happens that some individuals of any faith are liable to take exceptions to whatever their minister may choose to tell them for their own good. There seems to be a widespread idea that Father Coughlin is kept off the radio by his ecclesiastical superiors, instead of the greatly berated Jewish element who are credited with being the power behind the throne of airy controversies. Just to balance the equation, I think the reason is partly due to both elements.

There are many shocking disclosures being made in the forum of the air and the daily press that serve to verify previous statements made by Father Coughlin.

The job of trying to tell the world about its big stack of unpardonable sins is a rather hazardous, thankless enterprise to say the least. The human species preserves silence but continues its futile search for the golden fleece.

Palmer, Mass. —W.S.A.

Reader Reports His Own Observations of Europe

TO THE EDITOR:

Our name is not Wendell Willkie, but we, too, went to England a little ahead of Mr. Willkie, namely in February, 1939, five months after "Munich." In London we had a long conversation with an earnest, young, patriotic Englishman, who was very much concerned with the future of his country. He deplored deeply, as he called it, "the groveling before the dictators" of Chamberlain at Munich.

He admitted the injustices inherent in the *Versailles Treaty* and said some sort of an economic readjustment should be made between the "haves" and "have-nots" nations. When we discussed the Empire, he said he feared that its disintegration was inevitable, at least Canada and South Africa were bound to drop out sooner or later. India, too, was hard to keep down forever.

"We just grabbed too much," he summed it up. That was in 1939 and in England. And now in 1941, here in America, we are asked to save that British Empire from disintegrating.

From England, where, incidentally, my wife's forefathers came from, we went to Holland, where I have relatives, my sister was born there. There, the hatred against Germany was intense. It was the same hatred that the British propaganda instilled into all the small nations surrounding Germany. It was based on the usual "barbarian" stories, not much tangible.

We were told some hair-raising tales about conditions in Germany, right across from the German border. When, later on, we went on to Germany, where I was born and still had distant relatives, we found these tales mostly brain-children of the propaganda machines.

We lived in Berlin for 1½ years up to August, 1940. After the war started, we, too, got our ration-cards, pulled down the blackout blinds every night and groped around in the total blackness. It amused us no end to listen to the B.B.C. from London telling the German people in their German language program that they were eating cats and dogs and practically starving, that a meal in Berlin costs 10 marks and so on — things they wouldn't dare tell in their English broadcasts.

When the "Huns" later invaded the Low Countries, we saw the effect of this propaganda. I talked with a German soldier who fought in Poland, Holland, Belgium and France. He said that the Poles stormed with their bayonets against tanks, believing them to be made out of pressed paper! In the Low Countries and France the same unbelief in the effectiveness of the Nazi army prevailed. How could "Ersatz" be any good?

French colonial troops refused to surrender; they had been told they would be massacred by the Germans. It will take Goebbels a couple of years to undo this and substitute his own propaganda. Incidentally, the present British policy of not permitting any food to go to the occupied countries will do more to consolidate the Continent behind Hitler than anything Goebbels' propaganda machine can do.

Now as far as our entry into this holocaust is concerned—leaving the ideological and political issue out altogether and considering only the practical and realistic side—I can only repeat what military men have pointed out repeatedly: that even if Hitler intends to conquer this nation (which of course no sane person believes) he could not possibly do so even with a united Europe and Asia helping him.

On the other hand, Europe cannot be successfully invaded now that the Axis holds the entire continent in its hands and this would be necessary in order to defeat the Axis powers.

Paradoxically enough, in times like these, when it is more than ever necessary to be united, the nation seems to dissolve itself into groups and nationalities, distrustful of each other, despite the effort of *"Americans All, Citizens All"* broadcasts.

This, of course, was to be anticipated, instead of adhering to the tried principles of our founding fathers, the regime at Washington involves the nation more and more in the intrigues of Europe and Asia to the detriment of the American people and the benefit of the international money lenders and ruling *clique* of Britain.

Baltimore, Md. —K.G.

Embattled Truth

TO THE EDITOR:

Somebody gave me a copy of SOCIAL JUSTICE of April 21 to read concerning the "Facts About Yugoslavia." I did, and I must say that it is one of the best articles I have read this year. I wonder who wrote it? May I congratulate you!

One of my friends in the East wrote me not long ago: "The more I think about the situation in the United States, the more I think how correct was Father Charles Coughlin."

And I wonder how long you will be able to write the truth? The more we go deep into the war muddle, the less we are allowed to tell the truth.

Los Angeles, Calif. —Rev. F.B.

War Clique Real Defeatists

TO THE EDITOR:

For his stand that this is not "our war" and that American intervention would be an act of crass folly on our part, Col. Charles A. Lindbergh is branded a defeatist and an appeaser by many who, ironically, are themselves defeatists in their lack of faith and their fear to meet any military or economic threats and problems alone and unafraid in the traditional American manner.

Consciously or unconsciously, they would appease their fear by sacrificing our men, money and material resources, our individual —and, as in the case of the advocates of Federal Union, our very national — independence and tie our Ship of State to that of Britain's and go bobbing along as a cork boat in the wake of a British man-of-war.

If these latter should have their way, which God forbid, it is to be hoped that they will first have the grace and the decency to lower Old Glory from the masthead, fold it reverently and lay it away.

For that flag belongs to Washington and Lincoln, to John Paul Jones and Stark and Mad Anthony Wayne, and to the thousands of our honored dead who down through the years have given their lives for the dream of a proud, independent nation—and, also, to those of us whose very souls would sicken and die under any flag but the Stars and Stripes of America.

Somerville, Mass. —R.F.G.

Americans Will Take Over

TO THE EDITOR:

Your comment *"What They Said Then"* in the April 21 issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE should be put out in millions of hand-bills throughout our nation with the additional comment I suggest herewith.

It is very urgent that our real pro-Americans get back of Wheeler and Lindbergh to take over the helm of our Ship of State in 1944 —if there is anything left of the wreck our present mis-Representatives are making of it in their servile obedience to the international gold-controlled Federal Reserve pirates.

Saginaw, Mich. —R.H.K.

Letters

Tribute to a Soldier

TO THE EDITOR:

A few years ago there appeared in the pages of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, an obituary written by Father Coughlin about the gardener who had passed on to his eternal reward. It was a touching tribute written by a great man to one who had served him faithfully and well.

And now I am going to ask SOCIAL JUSTICE to print an obituary to a "gardener" who tended not of earth, but of ideals—a garden that grew out of the energy and inspiration of Father Coughlin's teachings.

This man talked social justice, lived social justice, sacrificed for social justice. Perhaps, he died for social justice. A World War veteran who knew all the privations and hardships, the horrors and temptations of a soldier fighting a cruel war, yet he came back with a soul so shining and beautiful that even a child's soul might have suffered by comparison, because it would have been untried in the fierce crucible of life.

He carried his cross of physical suffering — unhealed scars from "the war to make the world safe for democracy." He felt the sting of ingratitude, the hurt from desertion by the country that he had served.

Because of his experience he understood and appreciated the value of the principles set forth by Father Coughlin. The Crusader for social justice aroused his admiration and sympathy and loyalty.

When he became too ill to get about, those whom he had befriended forgot him in the rush and bustle of business and social cares. His Government, deep in his debt, persistently neglected him.

He died in the Naval Hospital, a four-day patient.

Flags will doubtless be draped about his casket and beautiful flowers will pay mute, tardy tribute to a valiant soldier.

Through the courtesy of SOCIAL JUSTICE, for which and for its founder this veteran gave so much, I hope he may receive the distinguished service decoration he so richly deserved. Mark it with the name, "James F. X. Coyle"!

—I.A.T.

Philadelphia, Pa.

Can Show Appreciation

TO THE EDITOR:

I notice in your last issue (May 3) you are starting another contest, to increase the circulation of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine. As a regular reader, I am quite positive you are doing a most sensible requirement to get the great American educator and protector of true Americanism in the homes of those who love their God and country and national preservation as conceived by the founders of our Republic.

The founder of this truth-telling publication is Father Charles E. Coughlin, whose voice we all miss but who true and sincere lovers of justice cannot forget. These persons should do what they can in procuring subscribers.

Furthermore, we should sacrifice a little time to show appreciation and honor to Father Coughlin who has been so courageous and loyal to the oppressed, and who has ever stood unflinchingly by the 16 principles of social justice.

—E.C.

Union City, N. J.

Not Edifying

TO THE EDITOR:

Recently I read a copy of a letter in your paper written by Monsignor John A. Ryan, implying that Father Coughlin is a "faker" and belittling SOCIAL JUSTICE and the *Brooklyn Tablet*. The latter is a diocesan paper. For years I have found Father Coughlin's radio broadcasts most enlightening and an education and I have always read the truth in the papers mentioned.

Great churchmen, including Pope Pius XI, Cardinal O'Connell, Archbishop McNicholas, Archbishop Beckman, Monsignor Sheen, Father Coughlin and many others have been warning us against Communism (Marxism). Yet Monsignor Ryan is blind to the fact that our country is over-run with Marxists. Certainly, all these men cannot be wrong—or "fakers."

In using the official stationery of the National Catholic Welfare Conference, his letter infers that the whole organization is in agreement with the Monsignor. If not, some retraction should be made by them.

He has made many vicious attacks on Father Coughlin, but has never given any specific reasons for doing so. He most certainly has a right to his opinions, but I do not think his words and actions toward a brother priest are either charitable or Christian, especially since the silenced Father Coughlin may not defend himself.

I am a recent convert to the Catholic faith, but I certainly feel that Monsignor Ryan's actions would not draw anyone into the fold. If their faith is weak at all, it might drive them from it.

Some years ago, a priest, Dr. Edward McGlynn, tried to help the poor through the Henry George system. Because of jealousy by his superiors, they finally had him excommunicated but after five or six years, the Pope found him not guilty of any wrong doing. Simply trying to help the poor man—like Father Coughlin!

—Mrs. K.E.H.

Somerville, Mass.

Verdict of History

TO THE EDITOR:

The recent article on Jugoslavia interested me very much.

In the first World War the Austrians badly lost the first round against the Serbians. The battle of Sabac (about 50 miles west of Belgrade) was a major disaster for the Austrians. In round figures about 80,000 prisoners fell into the hands of the Serbians. Now comes the point.

All of these prisoners mysteriously disappeared from the face of the earth when, a year later, the Serbs fled over the Balkan mountains to Corfu before the new, victorious onslaught of the German-Austrian armies. In order to be unhampered in their precipitate flight they got rid of their prisoners in their innate savage fashion by killing them all!

For the reason that one of my brothers was among the victims I made painstaking efforts after the war to find out what had become of the prisoners of war in Serbia. I was informed by the Austrian government that not one prisoner of war had been returned to Austria after the war!

Now, Serbia is again lying crushed and torn under the heels of a ruthless conqueror.

Indeed: "God's mills grind slowly."

— Rev. G.A.K.

Loretto, Minn.

Speakers Not Consistent

TO THE EDITOR:

I heartily approve of your comment on the *Christian Science Monitor* in your article, "Majority Morality," in SOCIAL JUSTICE.

However, in another comment, "Wanted: Leadership" and quoting the *Boston Post*, I offer another idea. It isn't just another voice we need. We have a wonderful *voice* in the White House now but we're steadily drifting to destruction.

The speakers of Webster's day didn't have to compete with a twenty-four hour a day radio and innumerable newspapers and magazines. But the one thing all of the so-called leaders of today lack is consistency.

I've heard Verne Marshall, Charles Lindbergh and Senators Nye and Wheeler, and they all follow the same method. They tell how Britain is the greatest aggressor of all times; the only enemy we've ever really had; how she would fight on any side that benefits Britain and betray anyone but they add, "I want Britain to win this war," or "but I have a tremendous admiration for the British people."

Why do they do this?

Well, here is one woman who goes on record as saying, with a few personal exceptions, I do not have a tremendous admiration for the British and I hope they don't win this war. The sooner the British Empire is dissolved the sooner will all the people be able to live and prosper on this earth and not be divided into groups of Haves and Have Nots.

If this makes me a "Fascist" or a "Nazi," so be it. In case anyone shrugs this off as being written by a German, I have no German or Italian ancestors, but English, Scotch and Irish, all being in this country since colonial days and fighting to build this country — not to destroy it.

— Mrs. B.P.R.

Tacoma, Wash.

An Aged Jew's Letter Is Based on Experience

TO THE EDITOR:

Since you invite comment, I'll comment, only I fear it will not be what you like.

Herbert Lewis sold the last war as a dance under the name of "Lefkowitz." He is a client of the Ochs outfit, avoided taking part in the dance, sat in judgment upon himself, and decided to sell the next war under the name of "Lewis."

The enclosure, the *"Lost and Lonely Eagle"* is of the same pen. Jews call that *"chokme"* but it is always *"chutzpe"* in the end. *"Chokme"* is Jewish wisdom and *"chutzpe"* is Jewish impudence. That I, a Jew, write this letter is called *"rishiss"* and if you would write the letter, it would be called "anti-Semitic."

You can study outbreaks of *"rishiss"* in Ludwig Lewisohn's class and in the end of Ben Gitlow's book. Also in Lion Feuchtwanger's *"The Jewish War"* amongst the ancient Jews. I had the "kosher Keeley" cure when young and to fight anti-semitism, my father apprenticed me to a Jewish firm.

They were usurers, exploiters and even criminals, and to cap the climax, looked down upon lesser firms who did as they did on a smaller scale. I was too young to know and understand their criminality.

I am old and deaf now, and wrote Father Coughlin at the start that he would have to fight Judaism. He is no anti-Semite, because he has a loving heart—something Jews are without, myself included. I had two brothers, 15 and 16 years older, and dead a long time. All three of us married non-Jews, and thus escaped. Why can't all Jews do the same? It baffles me.

Struggle, ye nations, if you win, we'll "have the profit," if ye lose, we shall also profit, says a Jewish character. (I have seen this come to pass, and though I left Germany in 1899, I am not surprised at the turn of events there.)

Are they such a "harmless minority" if they can drag 130-million Americans into war, for their benefit? The fatherland of the Jews is all the rest of the Jews, says a writer whom you dislike.

F.D.R.'s four freedoms are meant for Jews mainly. But it is too late for them, and Karl Marx's opinions on the Jews are out of print, and you know why? They are based like mine upon *experience and observation.*

—B.V.

St. Paul, Minn.

Comment

British Israel

WE REPRINT an article which appeared in the January 12th edition of *Our Sunday Visitor* entitled *"What is British Israel?"*

It is an article which might throw some light upon the efforts of those who are endeavoring to accomplish a "union now" between Great Britain and the United States.

It is an article revealing the identity of those who have risen to power in this nation:

"What is British-Israel?

"It is based on a strange interpretation of several *Old Testament* Scripture texts, for instance, on *Jeremias 33, 17,* and *Psalm 88* (revised version *Psalm 39*) 34-38. Jeremias says in that verse:

" 'For thus saith the Lord: There shall not be cut off from David men to sit upon the throne of the house of Israel.'

"The Psalm reads:

" 'But my mercy I will not take away from him: nor will I suffer my truth to fail.

" 'Neither will I profane my covenant; and the words that proceed from my mouth I will not make void.

" 'Once have I sworn by my holiness: I will not lie unto David.

" 'His seed shall endure forever.

" 'And his throne as the sun before me: and as the moon perfect for ever, and a faithful witness in heaven.'

"British-Israelites hold that after the dispersion of the ten tribes of Israel, leaving only the two tribes of Judah in Palestine, the former emigrated to the northwest of Europe and the modern population of the British Isles, France and Germany contain their descendants; that the United States and Canada were populated largely from immigrants from these countries, and hence their people should also belong to the movement, which aims at uniting all English-speaking people, with the ruler of Great Britain over all.

* * *

"Boake Carter wrote on this subject sometime ago for the daily press, when he noted that:

" 'In Windsor Castle hangs a geneological chart. It is the original. On it is King George's direct descent traced from David, King of Israel. The table shows an unbroken line of descent. And the British Israelites point out that if Britain and her people are not the modern descendants of Israel and inheritors of all the promises and covenants, then where is another throne and another nation which has similar markings.'

"Mr. Carter continues:

" 'Of Israel it was said by God, she would become in due time a "commonwealth of nations." Her seed was to be beside all waters. Her name is to be called "Great." Britain is called Great Britain. The nations closely associated with her are known as the British Commonwealth of Nations. Their shores touch every oceanic water of the world.

" 'Britain which in pure Hebrew means Brith-Covenant. Ish, in Hebrew, is "man." Therefore, Britain becomes "covenant people." Britannia—covenant ships.

" 'All British heraldy is of Israelitish origin. History calls the British the "bull" people, because their flags and standards always carried the bull or the unicorn. A perusal of ancient records show that Ephriam's standards carried similar markings.

" 'British money is indented with Israelite markings and this alone makes a fascinating subject for any student of the whys and wherefores of so many things that twist and turn the world the way it now writhes in agony.'

"If you will look on the back of a one dollar bill—United States currency—you will note that we have engraved thereon the British-Israel seal, and related it to our New Deal (*Novus Ordo*).

* * *

"British Israel has publications in Canada and the United States, and a great many missionaries for the cause in these countries. Followers frequently quote Cecil Rhodes, the gentleman who established Rhodes' scholarships at universities in this country many years ago for the purpose of cementing closer relationship between the United States and Britain. They also quote Andrew Carnegie at length, who urged that the United States undo what the Revolutionary War accomplished and unite again with Great Britain.

"Boake Carter notes that British Israelites believe 'that over the United States and Great Britain should be but one flag; that the two separate nations should form one true union, league, or alliance—"By Myself I have sown . . . said the Almighty, that Israel was to remain a nation before Him, forever." '

"British Israelites hold that this covenant cannot be fulfilled in the Jews, since Jews are a race and not a nation; a nation has a king, a country and a constitution.

"We quote Boake Carter once more:

" 'Hence the Israelites find great pride in calling themselves such, believing Great Britain and the United States to be jointly of the House of Israel. British Israelites say that only 50 per cent are Anglo-Saxon in the United States, while the remaining 50 per cent become grafted into the Israel stock after the passage of so many generations.

" 'And thus, they claim, there should be closer collaboration between the United States and Britain. Further, they say that forces tending to keep the two nations apart will fail, simply because their twin courses finally merge into one, as written by the "writing on the wall" of long, long ago.'

"Boake Carter also notes that while 'The British Israelites contend that if the British throne came over to Canada the United States should be glad and proud . . . if the throne of Great Britain would be removed anywhere it would not be to Canada or Australia, but to Jerusalem. The prophecy,' they say 'makes this perfectly clear and quite emphatic:

" 'Christ will come to sit upon the throne of His Father, David'—in Jerusalem, not Canada.

"Carter also notes:

" 'In the coronation service of the Kings of England, he called "the Lord's Anointed" —after the ceremony of anointing. The English King's coronation service is almost entirely identical with the coronation service of the old Israelite Kings.'

"He quotes a British-Israelite as follows:

" 'But I will go so far as to say this—that if we, of Britain, are not of Israel, then I see no hope for us in this present terrible struggle. If we are of Israel, then we shall survive it, not because of ourselves, but only because of God's oath.'

" 'And the oath?' I said.

" ' "Not, be it, said, Oh House of Israel for your sakes, but for my Holy Name's sake." '

"Do not understand us to make the claim that many *distinguished* men and women in the several English speaking countries are identified with this movement except insofar as to help Britain's cause for the moment. We are certain that most educated men do not take the movement seriously; utterances of theirs designed to promote a better feeling in other countries towards Great Britain have rather been used by others to support the British-Israel movement."

The British 'Leopard'

IN YOUR issue of April 21 (Page 4, Comment: *"75 Fight for Peace"*) your writer has the mistaken idea, which most persons hold, that the national symbol of England is a lion, writes another observant reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE, who goes on:

"I admit that about 20 years ago I had the same opinion. At that time I happened to be drawn into a conversation with a Rutherford radio announcer, who gave a most ridiculous explanation of the 'lion' in *Daniel's Prophecy,* Chapter 7.

"I sought for light on this subject, and went to the library to ascertain which country is symbolized by the leopard. I found that it is the emblem of England; the lion belonging to Bohemia; the wings of an eagle to Austria; and the bear, who devours much flesh, is easily recognized as Russia.

"My deduction of this Chapter Seven is that it illustrates the happenings on earth from the birth of Antichrist to his defeat and death, but not to the end of the world, which may well be a thousand years later.

"This brings to my mind the Messiah Prophecy which I have heard sung: 'Why do the kings so furiously race together? Why do the people imagine a vain thing? The kings of the earth rise up, and the rulers take council together against the Lord and against His anointed.'

"I was a witness in Paris when Delcassie brought his war plot in the Order of Freemasons. After three men, who were represented as ex-Jesuit priests, had spoken against the Catholic Church and the Pope, Delcassie followed up with his famous oratory, ending: 'Down with the traitor of Galilee and His cursed mother, and down with the governments that are friendly to the Pope!'

"The Allies paid 8,000 francs to the Serbian General Staff Officer, Dragutin Dimitrievitch, to have the Archduke Ferdinand killed, for the purpose of inciting the people to war.

"Such we find here the biggest crimes in history committed in the Peace Treaty as the right setting for the birth of Antichrist. Now we are in the time of the fourth beast, a new world order.

"Why do we have to kill people for that purpose?

"Answer: The rulers do not want to listen to God or to His anointed, and prefer to follow the voice of Satan. Woe to America if the Leopard uses the feet of the Bear! We have gold in this country for the big robbers and Jews for the little robbers."

Comment

Anti-Semites —and the War

THE PEOPLE of the United States are divided; definitely and fearfully divided.

We are told that upwards of 80 per cent of our people not only do not want war but want to avoid positing the causes which lead to war.

And we recognize that the spokesmen for the remaining 20 per cent are clamoring for war—at least—for the causes which lead to war.

As matters stand, the 20 per cent—those who favor war—hold the upper hand.

For the most part this 20 per cent control Government, communications, finance and publicity. By use of the objects of their control, they are constantly insisting that Britain's war is America's war; that Britain's cause is America's cause.

Through Government, this minority has passed laws which not only have destroyed our neutrality but which have made us a participant—an active participant—in aiding Britain, financially and otherwise.

Through communications—cinema, radio and newspapers—this 20 per cent not only adopted a partisan attitude but are bent on creating the idea that America must go all out, sacrificing everything, to guarantee victory for the empire of Great Britain, which empire admittedly can not win victory of herself and by herself despite the hundreds of millions of persons living under the Union Jack.

Through publicity, spokesmen for this 20 per cent are demanding that America use convoys today, and her Army and Navy tomorrow, to help defeat the Axis powers on the basis that, if Britain loses this conflict, America will suffer a defeat.

An unbelievable progress has been made by this minority.

From the present outlook, it appears that our country will become a full participant in this conflict; and the 80 per cent of our people, who neither want war nor the causes which lead to war, will be overridden in the name of "democracy."

In fact, spokesmen for the 80 per cent —and they are few—are characterized as "Nazis," "Fascists," "Communists" and "anti-Semites."

Recently, the Nazi, Fascist, Communist name-calling has subsided to a degree. Not so has anti-Semite calling. It still endures.

And thereon hangs a story.

* * *

By accusing the spokesmen of the 80 per cent peace advocates of being "anti-Semitic," the war advocates are creating the inevitable conviction that the war, and our entrance into it, is somehow a pro-Jewish proposition.

It is readily admitted that this is not in accordance with the best rules of logic. However, in this illogical world, be it reasserted, that if the apostles of peace are termed anti-Semitic, then the apostles of war are being accepted as Semites.

In plain language the sophistry already is widely accepted *that this is a Jewish war;* that America's entrance into it *is the result of Jewish activities.*

Unreasonable as all this is, let us accept the reality that we are living in an age of unreasonableness.

If we were a reasonable people, the fruits of our reasonableness would not be the godlessness, the depression and the chaos which surround us.

* * *

Like every other war, this war will come to an end.

As happened after every other war, the apostles of war will be blamed for the hardships which will ensue.

The pro-Semites and the Jews will not be able, in this age of reason, to exculpate themselves.

Ten years hence, they will be the object of attack, of recrimination, of persecution, of pogroms on a scale which will surpass anything that happened in Europe.

Ten years hence, appeals based on religion will be to no avail.

Ten years hence, appeals based on reason will be futile.

Ten years hence, a handful of analytical men will trace the unmeasured flood of anti-Semitism not to the apostles of peace, but to the apostles of war — among whom are the Jews themselves.

Then this handful of men will understand that the greatest anti-Semites in the America of 1941 were not Senator Wheeler, Senator Nye, Senator Reynolds, Colonel Lindbergh, Father Coughlin and others now labeled as anti-Semites.

Ten years hence, it will be apparent that Franklin Roosevelt, Cordell Hull, Henry Morgenthau, Bernard Baruch, Wendell Willkie, and others in that group, proved to be the arch-enemies of the Jews.

This is an unreasonable world. In it a reasonable man can expect only unreasonable reaction.

'America et Veritas'

IS HITLER'S ostentatious anti-Semitism another "slick ruse" devised by the Sanhedrin to hide the fact that Naziism is a disguised form of Jewish imperialism, just as are Roosevelt-Churchill "democracy" and Marxian Communo-Socialism?

This arresting question is propounded in an interesting letter from a Philadelphia correspondent who continues:

"All the evidence available tends to support that likelihood.

"For instance, Judaism itself is an inverted form of Nazi racism. Just as Alfred Rosenberg, and other German theorists, preach their doctrine of Nordic-Aryan supremacy, so does the *'Talmud'* postulate the Jews as being the chosen race whose destiny it is to rule the world. Both *'Mein Kampf'* and the *'Protocols of Zion'* have much in common, and both are what Dotty Thompson would call a 'blue-print for world domination,' though of course she applies the phrase to the Nazi 'bible' only."

The letter goes on:

"Louis-Ferdinand Celine, an outspoken French writer, has said: 'Judaism is the worst kind of Fascism.'

"Indeed, all the ruthless totalitarian despotism which characterizes the twin regimes of Stalin and Hitler is found in doctrinal form in the *'Talmud'* and the *'Protocols.'* It would, therefore, not be at all surprising if *'Deutschland uber Alles,'* like *'Les Soviets Partout,'* should really be but a camouflaged version of *'Israel uber Alles.'*

"It is significant, too, that Hitler himself, in one of his recent tirades, said: 'Only for me, there would not be one Jew left alive in Germany today!'

"And it is true that, though the rank and file of German Hebrews along with a few prominent ones have been maltreated in the way of economic and social boycotting and sporadic brutality, this luridly propagandized persecution has never been permitted by the Nazi regime to approach the point of outright bloodshed and slaying that marked the *pogroms* in other countries where anti-Semitism was not an official tenet of the government as it is in Germany.

"This fact alone indicates some hidden influence that is obviously Jewish, and tends to substantiate the view that the Jewish Cabal — in order to conceal their control of the Nazi regime — are using their more or less unsuspecting co-racialists as whipping boys in the holy cause of Israel, while making sure that the scapegoat tactic doesn't cause too many casualties among the 'chosen people.' . . .

"In view of these curious circumstances, and many others," the writer concludes, "there is every likelihood that Hitler will actually be the 'Kerensky' of the planned world revolution.

"Isn't it significant that one never hears of Hitler's confiscating any of the big Jewish banks and his jailing or beheading any of the Mendelssohns, Warburgs, Oppenheims, Arnholds, or the Lazares in occupied Paris?

"And we have yet to hear of his suppressing the Prussian lodges of Masonry, which are doubtless as Judaized as the Grand Orient.

"Similarly, we have yet to read in any Marxist publication a thoroughgoing expose of the international banking racket, including our own Federal Reserve System. The 'comrades' shy from the basic money question as though it were something not quite *'kosher.'*

"What is *'Das Kapital'* but a disguised *apologia* for the Jewish gold standard, after all?

"Some 'boobs' will object that Hitler treated the Bank of England Rothschilds pretty roughly of late, and that they are now 'refugees' in New York City. But that kicking around was merely to keep up appearances — especially as the Sassoons and the Rothschilds run the 'democracy' branch of the racket as against the 'totalitarian' branch of Hitler, Stalin & Company.

"We, personally, will never believe that any cause touted in the controlled newspapers today is on the up-and-up, until it first abolishes privately-controlled central banking and puts all international bankers on the chain-gang for life, if not beheads them in public as traitors to mankind."

The letter of our Philadelphia correspondent speaks for itself. Comment of our own is not necessary.

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Is 'Social Justice' In Danger?

THIS COMMENT is inspired by a letter from a young man who works in Washington, D. C.

After praising the quality of the magazine and commending its journalistic honesty, the writer warns that SOCIAL JUSTICE is in danger.

"I do not believe you are aware of, or fully appreciate, the hatred which a powerful minority here in Washington holds toward your publication," he writes.

"Except for their fear of public opinion—and they quake in holy terror of that—these foes of the social truths which you expound *would have acted long ago to have you suppressed!*

"Winchell's crack about *'Coughlin's rag facing a legal fight for its life'* was more than his own ardent wish-thinking. It was another of those inside tips that the columnist is always bragging about.

"You frequently hear people say that if the country goes to war, free and honest expression of opinion like yours cannot be permitted; but I do not think your enemies will wait for anything so crude as a war proclamation or an emergency ukase.

"Few people know very much about our postal laws. For instance, most publications—yours included—enjoy a second class mailing privilege, which permits mailing by weight of a number of papers for a very small fee. This classification is, as the name indicates, a *'privilege'* and not a *'legal right.'* It can be withdrawn.

"If the mails were suddenly closed to the distribution of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, or even if your postal classification were changed (and that is the direction from which they are most likely to attack), many thousands of your readers would be left in the dark. They wouldn't know what hit 'em—neither, for that matter, would you!

"It seems to me you do not pay enough attention to your individual circulators and bulk dealers. If 'anything happened' in the postoffice, you'd have to depend on the dealers entirely."

* * *

We thank the young man for his warning. Frankly, we have never had time to worry about the plottings of our enemies. In a manner of speaking, SOCIAL JUSTICE has always been "in danger"; so, too, has Christian truth. And the "enemy" in both cases is usually the same.

Ever since the Christian Front persecutions of those young men in New York City, a persistent *clique* of Communists have harrassed and annoyed one Government Department after another, to demand "an investigation" of Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

Now, neither Father Coughlin, nor SOCIAL JUSTICE, have anything to fear from investigation—and the Government already knows it. But the enemies of Christian truth do not want merely an *investigation;* they do not want fair facts; they do not want "Light"—THEY WANT TO SUPPRESS THE TRUTH!

Whenever they feel that they have the power to do it, be sure they will.

* * *

Meantime, this young man's letter holds a challenge for every reader of this magazine. Five years ago, when he started SOCIAL JUSTICE, Father Coughlin predicted that the paper would never be for sale on newsstands. How right he was!

It may not always be available through the United States mail!

Do you know where you could get a copy of SOCIAL JUSTICE in YOUR town? If not, we need another bulk dealer in your neighborhood at once. Why not yourself?

Bulk does not mean making yourself responsible for a burdensome quantity; not at all. A thousand persons selling only 10 copies a week is 10,000 circulation.

Nor need the expense deter you. The Circulation Office is working on a plan to absorb a part, or all, of the carrying charge. Detailed announcement about this will be made later.

The point now is: how many of you readers who have followed the teachings of Father Coughlin all these years, and who believe in the truths he stands for, will undertake to distribute 10 magazines a week, and let it be known throughout your neighborhood that, if "anything happens," readers can always get their SOCIAL JUSTICE from you?

Have You Written Your Letter to the President?—Send It This Week